

AND ULTIMATELY that first MASSIVE LIGHT-WAVE of HELICOID ENERGY-WAVE-STRING-MASSIVE FRONT would have reached HIGHER SPEED Hydrogen Mass EC^2 quared SPEED-DENSITY and become the SECOND WAVE Hydrogen-Nebula Source forming SECOND WAVE HYDROGEN NEBULA PROTO-STAR ROOKERIES.

THUSLY 'C' Light-Speed' is become the COSMIC-GOLDEN-RULE-INCREMENTAL ratio-designating-building block for ALL SPACE-TIME RATIO calculations WHICH ALBERT EINSTEIN already WELL ESTABLISHED. FROM THE TOP DOWNWARD the INJECTED Birth-super-plasma from AEXO-DarkSpace BY-LAW could NOT DECELERATE lower-slower-speed-density than ENERGY @ LIGHT SPEED, which is EC helicoid-light-string, which is simply 'LIGHT.'

*** OUR TIME-MACHINE BUBBLE UNIVERSE: WORMHOLING GRAVITATIONALLY via OUR GRAY-JET SINGULARITY DARK-ENERGY REACTORS literally is WELL & SIMPLY ACCESSABLE using AEXO-DarkSpace TAPPED Gray-Jet Singularity POINT-LEAD-PROPULSIVE FOCUSED Hyper-Gravity Speed-Dense fields to LITERALLY 'BORE OUR WAY' via HYPER-GRAV TUBE/worm-tunnel to ANY SPACE-TIME POINT THAT WE WISH. And this is not only THINKABLE but actually DO-ABLE with even MUCH LESS IMAGINATIVE-INTUITIVE agency than EINSTEIN who started this WILD RIDE BACK-TO-THE-FUTURE----and the PAST ALSO----& imminently potentially----& VIRTUALLY; AT-WILL!

AND AS WE 'THINK' it, and as we SEE-IT, so CAN WE DO IT!~;-)

SO THUSLY; absolutely & imminently in reach is PROPELLED MOVEMENT BACK & FORTH, and TO & FRO along the GREEN-ENERGY Space-Time MEMBRANE FLOW path to the from CENTRE of our UNIVERSE to it's OUTER FINITE-BORDER. And THIS depending on the INTENSITY OF THE FOCUS-LEVEL of the HYPER-GRAV FIELD THAT WE CALIBRATE for our REACTORS to GENERATE; lies also the MEANS to be also moving BACK & FORTH IN 'TIME' WITHIN a 'TIME-IMMUNE' & also 'INERTIA IMMUNE super Gravity FIELD-BUBBLE. . . and ALL of THIS within the VIRTUAL-WINK of the VIRTUAL-NO-TIME eye of SCHROEDINGER'S VIRTUAL CAT!

Thankyou// Jack O'Suileabhain

Windows Live: Friends get your Flickr, Yelp, and Digg updates when they e-mail you.
<http://www.microsoft.com/middleeast/windows/windowslive/see-it-in-act...>

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

A Brief Future of TIME

Options

4 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Nov 1 2009, 7:25 pm

For: Dean L. Sinclair

Title: 'A Brief Future of TIME'

Sub-title: 'Spin Oscillating Inversion-Spheres with Spheres/Wheels within Wheels at Time's END'

DISCLAIMER for DELICATE FLOWERS in the AUDIENCE:

[Warning! Limited usage of CAPS; this is merely a 'punctuation device' to facilitate extended-emphasis as a mere alternate-symbol-function-of my 'limited' key-board. This is 'not' intended as 'shouting' so that the less emotionally resilient amongst the audience should 'not' get their knickers-in-a-wad-about it. Thankyou for your indulgence.-JO-

A brief 'nod' to the poetic aspects within 'Physics.'

-Monday, Nov. 2nd/2009: ! Feliz Dia de los Muertos ! honor the 'dead' because they're 'not' really~;-) The law of the conservation of energy/spirit is inviolably accurate & ultra-correct methinks. This is 'my religion' if I have one. The Cheyenne/Lakota/Dakota/Mnicojue/Hunkapap/Brule/Teton/Oglala-way/point-of-view always rings a 'deep' bell/resonant-chord within me as well.-JO-

But this article is 'not' about any convention of 'religion' for 'theology' is 'not' science regardless of the 'linear-time-shackled flat-earth-creationist's' claims. Like it; hate it; choke on it; whatever; it's irrelevant.

For Dean// Hoka-Hey!

Exerpt: But the kicker here is that consider; every Proton micro-singularity within our psycho-organic bodies' energy matrix comprises our dynamic WILL-MOTIVED energy-grid that is connected to/and empowered by/micro-wormholed access to the AexoTachyonSpacial Carrier/Matrix/Wave Super-Membrane at AexoBAE-Constant EC3ubed. And so the sending of our 'Psyches' where-ever/when-ever we choose is not that farfetched. This is also called the legitimate science of 'Remote Viewing' which has empirically evidenced itself to be actually of 'transtemporal' as well as 'transdistant.'

Maybe our being at one set of trans-temporal/transAexo-Tachyon coordinates(in 'Time') and then simultaneously projecting a 're-vesting' of 'ourselves' in someother/some-whenever/some-where-ever bio-organic eco-sphere ('encarnated') maynot be that farfetched. Maybe there are those on the planet that are actually that. Maybe our dreams are merely windows into parallel existences that we all share (or not) that bleed across in a remote-viewing state that we sometime know as 'sleep.' Selah/Zechariah Sitchin stuff--> ? What indeed is the 'transtemporal/transdimensional Mothman phenomenon because it indeed happened/Wyrd though it may seem to our current empirical abilities to perceive &/or define it. Maybe our future &/or adjacent time-line 'selves' have been paying us visits?

* * * 'TIME' is merely perceived speed-density 'difference' expressed as a RATIO of our

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Alternative Energy - BP](#)

See how BP's advanced technologies

are expanding energy production. www.bp.com/energymix

[Low Cost Linear Motion](#)

Full range of engineered solutions - Linear guides, bearings actuators www.PBClinear.com

[Electronic Medical Record](#)

Sign Up for Practice Fusion's Free Electronic Medical Records Now PracticeFusion.com

[See your message here...](#)

low-speed-density/relatively 'slow-motion' quasi-sequential bubble universe juxtaposed to the Aexospacial DarkEnergy Tachyon-speed/CARRIER-MATRIX-WAVE that sources/sustains/contains our bubble. Our Parent/Parallel/Adjacent Tachyon-speed-density Aexospacial Carrier-Matrix-Wave (& co-extant) moves at a rate that spans our little bubble universe virtually instantly which means it is at AEXO/BAE-Constant energy speed-density rate of VIRTUAL-NO-TIME/VIRTUAL-NO-DISTANCE. (hense is 'Spooky Action at a Distance')

BOTTOM LINE: We ultimately will have access to approaching ANY & EVERY POSSIBLE temporal/trans-temporal low-speed-density coordinate within our low-speed-density bubble universe's entire quasi-sequential evolution. Therefore, from being able to access the Virtual-No-Time Aexospacial/TachyonCarrierMatrixWave we will be able to access anywhere/anywhen/and any parallel &/or adjacent where &/or when within the entire existence of our finite bubble universe that abides within quasi-infinite Aexospace.

Potentially we will also cross to other bubble-universii with ease. Navigating might be a bit tricky; at first; but developed remote-viewing skills might be the key. We may move forward, &/or backward, &/or side-ways to any parallel &/or tangential branch time-line. We are bio-organic-sentient-energy motes within a very large & exitingly mysterious/Wyrd 'haystack.'

The Brief & Imminent Non-Future of 'TIME'

The upshot then for 'Time' is this: Upon the ubiquitously penetrative Aexospacial Darkenergy CARRIER-FIELD-SUSTAINING-MATRIX WAVE any or virtually infinite branching and/or parallel and/or tangential 'Temporal Sequence Pathways' are possible and/or likely probable and ultimately accessible. And hense the swan-song for the 'BRIEF FUTURE & HISTORY of TIME.'

The AexoSpace Tachyon-level Carrier-Matrix-Wave exists at hyper-speed-density of VIRTUAL-NO-TIME/VIRTUAL-NO-DISTANCE.

FOUNDATIONAL INTRO: Mass = Speed-Density gyro-spin-twist within 'Field Viscosity' via gravionic-centrifugal compression of adjacent Energy-Membrane 'space' warpage. All Energy density above the BAE-Constant of ONE-ENERGY/1'E' form(s) an accelerating & graduating phenomenon of Helicoid-Wave String.

At Energy x LightSpeed-'C' energy-speed-density we have helicoid light string eg. Light=EC. From there its really quite simple. Up through the acceleration gradient spectrum/wavelength & frequency range of Helicoid-'E'lectro-plasmic-string we see the various wave lengths up through Gamma etc. where they ALL exhibit the wavicle/wave-particle speed-density where they are not true particles but like Quantum-Photons & Quantum Electrons still in Helicoid Wave String form as quanta of One-Wave-Crest-to-Wave-Crest 'wavicle' increments.

Helicoid Wave String; much like a 'dynamic-energy-expanded(stretched-out)-Electro-Plasmic-Tesla-Coil' begins to 'Breach at its hyper-out-stressed sting core' which within the core of the helicoid string creates 'bleed-through' energy access from Parallel/Parent/Adjacent DarkEnergy Aexospace. DarkEnergy Aexospace preexists and 'calves' the many low-speed density universe bubbles such as our own like a infini-myriad of champagne-bubble universii.

IN THE BEGINNING: Was hyper speed-dense Virtually Infinite DarkEnergy Aexospace. At Aexospacial BAE-Constant/EC3ubed speed-density the hyper-fluidic/hyper-speed is such that at that lowest Aexospacial base speed we would cross our entire bubble-universe in less time than it takes to move a finger from the tip-of-one's nose to its bridge. This is a state of Hyper-Speed-Dense VIRTUAL-NO-TIME/VIRTUAL-NO-DISTANCE. We see it's action in 'Spooky action at a distance.'

Aexospacial adjacent/parallel/source hyper-space ubiquitously co-penetrates the 'bubble' as a ubiquitously-permeating-sustaining Hyper-tachyon-level CARRIER-/sustainer-WAVE-field-matrix. That Aexo-Tachyon-density(Carrier-Wave/Field 'not 'particles')-Carrier-Wave has a speed-interval/frequency that is so hyper-compressed in wave form that we have no-current-empirical measuring device to identify it as other than the classic 'back-ground-field.' Also the peak-to-peak span of the Aexospacial/Aexoversal Wave is so vast in distance (though hyper fast also) that we cannot measure nor detect it 'well.' Likely one single Aexospacial/Tachyon-field level wave from wave-crest to wave-crest spans far further than the very span of our entire bubble universe.

The speed of Aexospacial DarkEnergy Tachyon-speeddense parent-space is such that a demonstrator of the interval of a 'wave' would have to say, that after having detected 'no' appreciable movement by even our most delicate/fine tuned oscilloscope,' would then subsequently have the operator remarking; "Cool, that; do you want to see it again!?!~;-)

The AeospaceBaseAmbient Energy speed density constant is at EC3ubed which is relatively so hyper-dense, & hyper-fast, & hyperfluidic that it's wildly fractallating/eddying current 'dance' spins-off hyper gyro/centrifugal-super-super gravionic fluidly viscous toroidal super maelstroms. The specific gyro centrifugal gravionic-speed-density would warp-compress even surrounding dark energy into a gyro-toroidal-centrifugal & hyper-gravionic

ring that exerts mammoth out-pulling stressors upon the eye of the maelstrom creating a 'threshold low pressure/low speed density 'eye.' When the eye falls below the AexoBAE-Constant of EC3ubed a low pressure singularity is formed syphoning sub-EC3ubed super-plasma into an instantaneously formed/calved 'new' bubble universe. From the new bubble's side this looks like a Mega-White-Hole which we commonly call the Big-Bang.

NEW CONCEPT: At 'Bubble Universe Centre' the White hole grays-down but remains a constant 'Hub-Inflow' conduit juxtaposed against the universe-border-outflow. The hyper-massive Aeospatial Gravionic-outpull accelerates ubiquitously/inexorably outward the EC2quared-mass Galaxies. The Galactic/Atomic EC2quared 'M'ass reach light-speed entoto at our 'Bubble's' outer border where EC2quared x 'C'-light speed again becomes Aeospace's-BAE-constant and the Galaxies' gray-hole singularity-centres turn Black-hole-status. So simultaneously each and every of the Galaxies' Proton-Atomic-MicroSingularities reach that EC3ubed speed-density status and their 'gray-hole' centres turn Black-hole status. Thusly as Mega-Gamma-Ray-Bursters the Galaxies' entirety of Atomic-Mass is reingressed into Aeospace/DarkEnergy Space from whence it was initially calved. Thus the 'entire-affair' is a dynamic fluid-dynamic system. Sorry Prof. Hawking; the Big-Crunch is a not starter, but the rest of your insights were intrinsic as Einstein/Planck/Bohr/Newton/Galileo & their peers & colleagues to furthering us along to our eventual extra-planetary future. Star Trek got it fairly 'right.'~:-)

BIG BANG: At the big-bang/Matros White-hole moment simultaneously ingressing/pooling sub-EC3ubed super-plasma is slowing-down/thinning and being gravionically/drawn/skeined out into helicoid-wave-string for all speed-density/wave-lengths. At the

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

Robert Vanderhoek [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Nov 1 2009, 7:46 pm

Please stop forwarding me this nonsense.
hoek

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Nov 4 2009, 7:34 pm

Yes, Hoek,
Jack do use a tremendous number of big words to say what could be said in a lot fewer words.

I'll try to summarize whaat I more or less understand of what he is saying.

We exist, apparently, in some sort of medium where in we are a tiny part. E ven our Universe is. It may well be tha there are an unlimited number of universes such as ours. Even 'tis possible that all possible existences exist simultaneously.

Para-normal phenomena such as remote-viewing and thought transference seem to happen and it may well be that the idea of a 'soul' or essence is not just fantasy.

Jack gets rather carried away but there is evidence around e/g/ the Shaumatic Experience, that the world we percieve is but one tiny aspect of everything. I think that I have covered a good deal of the

ground that Jack did. Is this nonsense, too? Well, maybe. Is it pertinent to O/S? Well, again, maybe. Eski

On Nov 1, 6:46 pm, "Robert Vanderhoek" <bhook...@verizon.net> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Nov 12 2009, 10:52 am

Oh.yes..Ka-Sala,

You are definitely putting it together, twice the speed of light apparently is absolutely correct as the summation of the average velocity of the pulsation and rotation of any "particle" of whatever exists at any given "instant" along any given vector....

As this thread is a bit long, I am going to start another thread with a comment on Jack's original idea of a "basic quantum."

I think that you'll find it interesting.

I wonder if Jack is still looking in once in a while? The last communication from him placed him headed for a rather cold part of Europe for an indefinite time period....ESKI

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)



« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

A Brief Future of TIME - Sorry for 2nd. Post. Typo-Fix done

Options

1 message - Collapse all - Report discussion as spam

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Nov 10 2009, 9:42 pm

Response to J/O

*** <DISCLAIMER for DELICATE FLOWERS in the AUDIENCE:
!Warning! This is 'not' intended as 'shouting' so that the less emotionally resilient amongst the audience should 'not' get their knickers-in-a-wad-about it. Thankyou for your indulgence.-JO- >

& / Warning! Insult to O/S 'Contributors' - not audience - personal analogies non co-coherent to intelligence. Take shoes from off feet before entering door. All equal values and have disabilities. Indulgence not applicable, only privilege.

*** < A brief 'nod' to the poetic aspects within 'Physics.' >

& / Confuses say... 'Any poet can speak science. Not every scientist can speak poetry.'

*** 'TIME' <Maybe our future &/or adjacent time-line 'selves' have been paying us visits?>

& / Always in Now we are everywhere; no need to visit when Spirit rules. Time non existent; only relative of Earth time.

*** < BOTTOM LINE Navigating might be a bit tricky; at first; but developed remote-viewing skills might be the key. We may move forward, &/or backward, &/or side-ways to any parallel &/or tangential branch time-line. We are bio-organic-sentient-energy motes within a very large & exitingly mysterious/Wyrd 'haystack.'>

& / (Quote - Ka-sala) 'I share what I see in the sky of the Space that we move in; I look at the Earth, it's horizons all round. I look at the Sun, and the Moon, and the Stars in the Light. I look at the roots of the trees, underground. I look at the soil, and the rocks and the valleys; and into the oceans and rivers of Sound. I look at the stillness of all that's created; Time doesn't move; doesn't change; doesn't waver. I look at it straight in the Eye of the Wind, and know when my Season of Life must begin; as is Now.'

*** < IN THE BEGINNING The speed of Aeospatial DarkEnergy Tachyon-speeddense parent-space is such that a demonstrator of the interval of a 'wave' would have to say, that after having detected 'no' appreciable movement by even our most delicate/fine tuned oscilloscope,' would then subsequently have the operator remarking; "Cool, that; do you want to see it again!?!~;-)"

< The AeospaceBaseAmbient Energy speed density constant is at EC3ubed which is relatively so hyper-dense, & hyper-fast, & hyperfluidic that it's wildly fractallating/eddying current 'dance' spins-off hyper gyro/centrifric-super-super gravionic fluidly viscous toroidal super maelstroms. The specific gyro centrifric gravionic-speed-density would warp-compress even surrounding dark energy into a gyro-toroidal-centrifric & hyper-gravionic ring that exerts mamouth out-pulling

Home

Discussions

+ new post

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Publish Poems Online](#)

Publish Your Poetry Book With Ease. Get a Free Publishing Guide Today! www.iUniverse.com/Publishing

[BP's Work in the Gulf](#)

BP continues their work in the Gulf. Visit BP.com to learn how. www.BP.com/GulfOfMexicoResponse

[IBM 5 min Marketing Demo](#)

Maximize Your Marketing Investment. See How In This IBM Marketing Demo.

www.IBM.com/cognos_marketing

[See your message here...](#)

stressors upon the eye of the maelstrom creating a 'threshold low pressure/low speed density 'eye.' When the eye falls below the AexoBAE-Constant of EC3ubed a low pressure singularity is formed syphoning sub-EC3ubed super-plasma into an instantaneously formed/calved 'new' bubble universe. >

& / Buckle up your seat belts if you are ready this time, because it's back to the future again: and how Light Speed makes Time Travel possible.

*** < NEW CONCEPT Thus the 'entire-affair' is a dynamic fluid-dynamic system>

& / So lets try again, without all the scientific brain storming to make it a little easier for someone with some degree of what is said, to be understood. It really is about time to get off the ground in a much more sophisticated way in this O/S Substance than scrambling. A little simplicity is the respect required to even get this far into Outer Space.

Hang on tight; because in truth, it pans out to Double the Speed of Light! Science has been giving it their best shot, but, all things take time, and for some, it's just a 'key-hole' away. Whether it is or it isn't believed, does not change the Specifics of how it is possible.


Ka-sala

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)



« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Some interesting basic math....

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Nov 12 2009, 12:42 pm

Hi, Everybody,

I'm back with a bit of my "Crackedpottery that just might hold a little water somewhere..."I beg your indulgence...

Jack O', on the O/S site has proposed that there should be adopted some sort of a basic quantum for quantum mechanical calculations.

Assuming that a "basic quantum" might correspond to the lowest possible frequency that would be observable, let's see if we can propose something.

Let us start with the fact that the number, One, can represent lots of things including--for those who tend to think in differential equations--any integration between nothing and something. That is the integration of acceleration over time will produce a unit of velocity, integrating momentum over time produces a "Unitary Expression for Energy" if we wish to see it that way, and so on.

Realizing this we can write, in the Planck Equation, $E=h\nu$, for a whole unit of energy of our universe, $One = h \nu$, and rearrange this to ν (the frequency associated with the entirety) = One/h . That is, the maximum frequency, shortest wave length, "cut-off frequency," of the Universe involved with our communication would be the inverse of Planck's Constant, "h."

This isn't what we want, it is the highest frequency! What can we do to find the Lowest Frequency which we might think would define the smallest possible quantum?

Let's go to another very simple, but, usually overlooked, mathematical fact. That is, what may be called the "Reciprocal Rule of Multiplication," or, perhaps, the "Ruling Equation of Existence," the simple little relationship, $xy=K=yx$. That is, if any two values, or unknowns, equal a constant, the reversal of those values will also produce the same constant.

Let's see if we can use the maximum value produced above to find the minimal value by going to another constant of nature, "c," the Speed of Light, and using the above relationship.

It is known that Frequency times Wavelength equals "c" That is, if we can determine any frequency, we can determine the corresponding wavelength. What we usually don't pay any attention to is that by interchanging the Absolute Values obtained, we find an exactly corresponding reversed set of frequency and wavelength.. so for a frequency of $1/h$ we find that there will be a corresponding wavelength having the value of 'c x h," ch , and there will be an absolutely reversed possible set, where the frequency has the absolute value previously associated with the wavelength, i.e., "ch" and the corresponding wavelength will have the absolute value of $1/c$ in whatever unit system we are using.

Handwritten note: $1/h$

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



[View this group in the new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Alternative Energy](#)

BP is investing in business and technology to deliver clean energy. www.bp.com/energymix

[Low Cost Linear Motion](#)

Full range of engineered solutions - Linear guides, bearings actuators www.PBClinear.com

[Siemens Energy Solutions](#)

Energy Solutions for the US: The World Leader in Green Technology. www.energy.siemens.com

[See your message here...](#)

It appears, therefore, that the value of Jack's basic quantum could possibly correspond to the frequency having the value, "ch," In the cgs system, this is about 2×10^{-16} cps.

The corresponding wavelength could be suggested to be the size of the "Universe" involved with us in the communication to which "h" and "c." pertain.. Unless there is a decimal point missed somewhere, this would be about $1.5 \times 10^{+26}$ cm. This, again, if there be no lost decimal point, is somewhere in the neighborhood of $7 \times 10^{+23}$ miles.... Very definitely a long wavelength!

One may note that there is supposedly, something called "virtual electrons" or perhaps we might more properly say "Virtual electron 'orbits.'" It may be that the frequency wavelength "symmetry" through the speed of light which was used above could account for this phenomenon, assuming it to be real.....

Cheers,
Dean Sinclair "ESKI" of the O/S Site

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance

+ Theory

Abor-Empathic-Resonance/DREAMTIME-Umbilicus-Phoenix Portal

[Options](#)1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#)[More options](#) Dec 19 2009, 1:09 pm

From: Jake O'Suileabhain/O'Sullivan(Eng.)//Subject: The pervasive 'Tree of Life' symbolism in the evolution of Human consciousness toward the nearing metamorphic moment into the Gestalt-TransSymbiotic Super-consciousness of 'Homo-Sapiens-Noviensis' from out of 'Homo-Sapiens-Sapiens.'

The 'time' is Now & inexorable//ready or not-here it comes!

~Title: Oscillatorsubstance-Christmas/Hanukkah/Phoenix-Millenium-Eve for Homo-Sapiens-Noviensis~

Ref: * * * ABORIGINAL-Empathic-Resonance/DREAMTIME-Umbilicus-Phoenix Portal * * *

From the Norse Valhalla proto-Kaballah 'Tree of Live-Yggdrasil' across Northern Europe to the Super/Quasi-Celtic-Norse-&-Asian super-civilization of Shamballah in Northern Mongolia(now recently discovered in the sands from 'twenty-thousand years ago very well preserved); the Tree of Life Archetype had penetrated down into Ancient Egypt from which arose the 'Allah-Kaballah' to Aakhenaton/Winged-Disk mono-theistic Super-Membrane-Unity traditions. And from ancient 'Shamballah-Mongolia' the 'Tree of Life' conceptual matrix also had migrated from across the Pacific to the Super-Civilizations of MesoAmerica which form the ancient Aztlan/Aztlantus Pyrimid Super-Civilization incorporating all of the ancient America's of the Toltecs-Olmecs Mayas-Aztecs etc. These also connected across the Atlantic to form a vital link back to Egypt that from 20 thousand years ago again was descendent of the ancient Shamballah super-Tree-of-Life culture. And the global circuit was complete and doing its work trans-culturally leading to this final planetary Phoenix-Metamorphosis that the Maya & others had so profoundly predicted.

One Planet-One-Evolutionary Omni-Symbiosis coming to fruition; and methinks that is a very good thing . . .

The 'Mayan' Phoenix-Metamorphosis is a factual super/supra-evolutionary mile-stone now happening; and this will form the Super-Soul-Conscious-Gelstalt awakening that is the fabled Phoenix-Metamorphosis and quite real.

From the Aboriginal radiating outward to encompass the so-called super-hi-tech aspects of Planet Terras multi-variegated tapestry of cultures 'All' is included inexorably in the new planetary birth that's finale'-labour-pains are beginning as we breath.

* * * I have a standing recurring 'dream-space' association with a 'pool' of Australian Aboriginal Sentients. In the moonlight I am surrounded by a familiar-family small crowd of all ages of Aboriginal People. Their eyes are collectively a fathomless depth of Trans-species Supra-harmonic Empathic Resonance. The living-darkspace-womb of infinite eternity is the light pouring from their smiling eyes. This is a 'very' nuturingly-renewing experience. Their presence is the background tapestry of all other 'Dreaming/Muse' of my consciousness at every level and depth.

They are the 'Umbilical Hub/entry singularity' of DarkSpace/TaoEnergy on the planet. From their hub-centre beingness emanates-radiates the Transtemporal Kaleidoscopically Evolving Bio-Matrix of the Planet-Terra and the over Archetypal Chorus of all of our species-flora & fauna and their total evolutionary course extant within the Infinite-Aeternal-

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

About this group

[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

Free Mayan Astrology

Astrologer skilled in Ancient Mayan techniques offers a Free Reading!
AboutAstro.com

Ancient Coffee

A shocking secret coffee
co's don't want you to know.
www.coffeefool.com

New Civilization V DLCs

Get 2 New DLCs At A Combo Price
Of
\$7.49 Or Buy Individually. See More
store.steampowered.com/CivV

[See your message here...](#)

Now that they call the ~Dreamtime.~

Methinks that the a la' Maya Phoenix Metamorphosis will umbilically entre-Terra Psycho-Bio Matrix through the Aboriginal-Perennial Child-Sages of Australia at ~Red Centre/Ayers Rock.~ The Phoenix Metamorphosis is 'Messiah-metamorphic-agency-process' that Weaves our Consciousness's into one Metamorphic/Exponentially HyperEvolving Moment into 'One.' Our Past-Present-Future myriad variegated Evo-Race-Memories 'finally' becoming integrated and gloriously ~Whole~ Crown-Chakra Awakening-wise. This the Ultimate Satori/Epiphany is ~No Matter of Whim nor Choice.~

The Aboriginal Perennial Child-Ancients are NOT & NEVER 'rigidly individuated.' Rigid & blindered brittle/rigid Individuation is over-rated and ultimately a worn-out and tawdry fiction. The Phoenix-Metamorphosis renders this null-conceptual-prison that our religious-paranoia's have painted us into paroxismally-reduced-to-a 'laughable and quickly forgotten something' that we 'stepped-in' and needed to scrape off of the bottom of our shoes continually for centuries now.

Personal 'choice' too is thread-bare and usless giving way to the Instant & Ubiquitous Liberty to swim spontaneously & freely in the vast Psychic-space of All-Being/All-Forms. 'Free-Choice' is the paranoid delusion disguised as 'personal integrity.' The notion is more aptly described as an 'immature-formative-clumsiness in 'personal course charting.' The religio-mind-control snare that induces a pervading sense of paranoia leads to the caging delusion that one can find one's self in any 'unforgivable-sin state' which is absurd. 'All' is ultimately healed & matured, and the wisdom of the tough/challenging-parts of the Aeternal Journey are intrinsic to the Majesty of the whole symphony.

KALEIDOSCOPIC EMPATHIC SYMBIOSIS is our future. Our expanded consciousness will time-travel spontaneously & more. And this expanded awareness will show us that this was our heritage all along as we ~Dream-Time~ travel in actual fact through-out the infinity of time-space as we really have all along. And all of this since our ~Tao-"First-Mind"~radiated into to self-creative sentient formation of all Transdimensional-Energy Flow constructs as the 'One Constringuous/Continuous Infinite AexoMembrane. From those beginnings we were in 'real' point of fact 'never shackled to finite concepts of space-time.' These were merely self-imposed conceptual barriers that our 'evolutionarily immature' consciousness/awareness imposed ignorantly upon itself; but as a temporary necessary 'illusion' to aid us in certain formative psycho-social growth.

I loved 'Dalai-Lama' young-man in 'Baldwin's' the 'SHADOW' when the captured dark-soul (Baldwin) as Im~Co was told that the 'time for his redemption' had arrived. Im~Co said, "I don't want redemption." The 'Dalai Lama' chuckled saying, "You have no Choice." Inferred here obviously is that the illusion of choice is a Cosmic-Joke to the wider Universal/Aexoversal Omni~Sentient~Tao~Sea/Membrane of which we are cellular members. The Phoenix Metamorphosis a la' Maya is just such a phenomenon. The various righteousness-unrighteousness-willingness-unwillingness of the 'cells-quasi-individuated-sentience' is irrelevant to the extreme.

So the DAATH Kaballah 'Chakra' is a dissolving/re-synthesizing DarkSingularity which 'dissolves individuation' and thusly 'All- that-came-Before' is 'digested/burnt-up' and thusly rises the PHOENIX METAMORPHOSIS. Again; being 'phobic' &/or 'willing &/or unwilling' is absolutely irrelevant always & forever.

JOHN GLENN'S & GORDON COOPER Aboriginal-Australian OUTER-SPACE-ORBIT ADVENTURE: This very well historically documented event is illustrative to what I am painting here.

John Glenn's orbit in his Gemini-capsule over Australia put-him in communications-blackout from Houston. The solution was for Gordon Cooper to man a small radio-radar cinder-block hut far in the out-back of Australia. When he got their a few yards away a fire-centred circle of Aboriginal Child-Ancients were 'singing-dreamtime' replete with 'digereedoo-music.' At a friendly pause the Native Australians and Cooper began to converse and Cooper pointed-out the 'glowing-satellite' crossing the sky which he was sent to monitor.

The senior-sage Australian smiled politely & warmly and said, "I think that we'll be having a 'look-in' on Mr. Glenn's vehicle ourselves," with a chuckle. Cooper indulged him with a polite smile bemusedly patronizing, & chuckling with what he 'thought' was the shared joke.

A bit later John Glenn reported that his 'craft' was surrounded by a 'pillar of sparks coming up from the surface of the Earth & they seemed to SENTIENT.' Cooper hearing this down on earth & pervaded by the haunting refrains from the Australian's droning-rhythmic chanting got up instinctively to gaze over at the 'singers.' They had become a shimmering-light circle in pulsing symbiosis with the fire which all now throbbled as an Energy-Plasma-Bubble and formed a living Pillar-of-Super-sentient-Plasma-sparks that spiraled up into the

stratosphere exactly tracking Glenn's Gemini Capsule. Go figure. This is recorded 'hard-data.'

At dawn the 'wonder' pervaded while the 'scene' returned to the prozaic and the 'mob of black-fellas' stretched their legs and ambled off into the bush.

They are the 'umbilicus' to the Metamorphic-Phoenix-Empathic-Resonance which is soon the 'plasma' which integrates the entire bio-eco 'All-Species' Archetypal-Matrix of the planet. And happily Homo-Sapiens-Noviensis will finally a generously and harmonic symbiotic element of that glorious whole. ?Utopian? Or is it simple ~Time?~

CERN-Hadron etc. is an 'aspect' and something of a 'weather-vane' in this imminent 'evolutionary stage-birth' for the planet. But sad to say for the hubris of extended Northern European based 'Homo-Sap' Cern etc. is 'not' central nor centrally 'causitive,' although Cern's future after the 'Phoenix Jump' will be very 'Cool' indeed!

The BEST is yet to come!-Jake O'Suilleabhain/O'Sullivan-

Windows Live: Make it easier for your friends to see what you're up to on Facebook.
<http://www.microsoft.com/middleeast/windows/windowslive/see-it-in-act...>

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance

+ Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Dolphin-like'pods' swim Aethyrs//WinterSolsticeEveDream2009i

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Dec 21 2009, 4:00 pm

*** *Winter Solstice Eve's all night Dream//2009* ***

I dreamed all night even-after returning to interrupted sleep of a constant migration to Planet Terra of Silver Dolphin-like Spirit-Soul swimming the Aethyr-Seas.

Our Planet in the Aethyr-AexoDarkSeas is a beacon of concentration's of sentients and our eco-system has a brilliant Avatar-Archetype energy matrix of the full kaleidoscopic-evolutionary array of all of the planet's myriad symbiosis of species of which Homo-Sapien-Sapien is one.

We always/often recognize our fellow 'spirit-pod' mates-fellows and difference in race and/or culture are irrelevant to those meetings of pod-fellow-recognized epiphanies-satori's.

The Spirit-Pod-families travel together through the Aethyr-Seas like schools-of-dophins called inexorably to their next port of evolutionary experience.

The 'a la' Maya' Phoenix Metamorphosis' that we are on the brink of has brought and interesting 'new-wave' of beings to inseminate the Awakening. I beheld the beautiful spectacle as much more striking than the Aurora Borealis and much akin to an exponentially amped up protracted planet covering night-time Leonid-like meteor shower.

Who are these beings? They will soon(and even now) will be intractably a 'new-version' of 'US' as a metamorphic-symbiosis.

Who called them? The Sentient Gestalt of our entire living-Terran Planet called out to them across the vast & infinite Aexo-DarkSpace deeps.

In short----->WE called them to this new dance.

The Aexo-DarkSpace deeps are intrinsically Omni-Sentience of First-Mind. As the infinite DarkSpace/DarkEnergy First-Mind is in essence Hyper Concentrated Sentience that is ubiquitous and originates every aspect of whatever is composed of 'Energy.' And that is simply every thing.

First-Mind is infinite imagination; infinite wonder; infinite thrill at manifesting every infinite possibility that an infinite mind can conceive of.

Liberty in infinite possibility is First Mind's core virtue.

'All' is birthed from First-Mind; so First-Mind is First-Mother and 'her' wanton nurturing is of unfathomably ferocious intensity.

Even what is 'male' is merely a subset-extension of First-Mother/First-Female.

All 'male' power hails it's first ROAR in homage to First Mother and serves her in 'All' that is.

We do not say 'Mother Nature' for nothing. And we acknowledge always, at first & at last, that her word is the First Word and the Last Word and the final and ultimate decider of 'All Fates.'

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Psychic Chat Online](#)

Everything You Need To Know About Love, Work & Money. Ask A Psychic! www.LivePerson.com/Psychics

[3-Minute Chakra Test](#)

Take the Free Chakra Test to Find Out Which of Your Chakras Are Weak www.ChakraHealing.com

[Indoor Current Pools](#)

Swim In-Place Against an Adjustable Current in Your Own Home. View Now www.EndlessPools.com/Current_Pool

[See your message here...](#)

All other conflicting concepts juxtaposed abstractly to this truth are merely immature & formatively incomplete notions; works in progress pushing like the buried seedlings inexorably up to the light of the sun and fruition. They are not necessarily 'wrong' per-se but only lack the full panoply of data to be whole & complete, and thusly accurate.

Clarity is our ultimate destiny and First-Mind never ultimately fails in this evolutionary mission-priority for all sentience. Sooner or later we all get it no matter how clumsily we grope-toddler-wise at various historic cataclysms and dark-eras.

Even a true-Patriarch serves as a very nurturing & protective quasi-mothering role.

Any good Father at his best is an assistant 'Mother' and serves his role most well by first projecting his energy to sustain and complete his gender-symbio-complement-mate; the Mother, in her role.

The very best Dad's are first the best husbands; the server's and logistical support dept. rather than being the self aggrandizing macho-egoists. The latter are but foolish children who're but still very young & formative in spirit.

The vast array of history's/evolution's diverse environmental-lives situations function within the infinite possibility of kaleidoscopic harmonic symbiosis. And these variations juxtapose to counterpoint various cacaphonic challenging elements which is the dynamic friction of the growth/evolutionary process. Then whatever variation of roles life's pod-mates/players choose to maximise mothering/maternal nuturing support dictates 'whatever works is right.'

Normal is an absurd fiction and inventive imagination and creatively courageous dynamics are the rule of the day, everyday and forever.

In short: Whatever works is correct; and innovation is the essence of First-Mind.

The Grand-Aeternal & infinite experment of the boundless imagination of First-Mind excludes no variables of kaleidoscopically & ever exponentially expanding possibility dynamics.

You are a sentient-pod-swimmer with a vast family that ultimately includes EVERYBODY within the infinite First-Mind Aexaverse. Your soul-spirit is an indestructable & ever evolving Dolphin-Aethyr swimmer of that Infinitely Exciting Dark-Womb Aethyr Sea..

Your Pod glimpsed the riot of life on Planet Terra just as the new arrivals in their turn under the approving and nudging-nurturing gaze of First-Mother/First-Mind who could also be known as 'First-Will.'

The Pod is attracted to the womb-bio-entrance singularities of harmonic womb-females on the planet and the 'new-comers' ancient-teachers come to us as our children. This is extremely cool.

Individuation is simultaneously enhanced while, Tao-Like, becoming less and less important as Gestalt-Symbios of consciousness becomes more central to our 'newly born status into First-Mind-Consciousness.' We, the planetary population en-synchronos 'Awakens.' Happy Birthday Earth!

Interestingly our planet's Aboriginal Cultures maintained-nurtured this Evolutionary-Imperative of Gestalt-Hive-like Omni-trans-species awareness from the mythic 'Adamic-newly-birthed' Terran first-formative-awakening when we first became Homo-Sapiens-sapiens and now Homo-Sapiens-Noviensis. Enjoy! See ya around the super-pod fellow Homo-Sapiens-Noviensii!~;-)

Jake O'Suilleabhain//O'Sullivan

Windows Live Hotmail: Your friends can get your Facebook updates, right from Hotmail@.
<http://www.microsoft.com/middleeast/windows/windowslive/see-it-in-act...>

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Dark-Energy Einstein-Rosen/Cern-NDR path ID.prjct-

Options

3 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Dec 28 2009, 3:33 pm

The Cern/International NDR-Pathway-Identification project plots 'naturally occurring' Einstein-Rosen transtemporal/transdimensional pathways though Dark-Energy Trans-Space

This is the Holy Grail of contemporary String/Membrane theorists. The NDR-ID. project is the cutting edge medium for the diverse theories to find their legitimate expression in by consolidating their efforts in this hard technology application.

From Cern-Hadron, with global collaboration is emerging the 'International NDR-pathway Identification Project. 'NDR' is acronymistic for Navigation of Dimesional-Rift Einstein-Rosen pathways that the 'String/Membrane' theory indicates are common and naturally occurring throughout the multi-spectrum levels of DarkEnergy Trans-Space.

The NDR accessing technology is centering upon the super-conductive capacity of Hyper-Cold-Superconductors to access the Navigatable DR-Einstein-Rosen pathways through transdimensional-transtemporal DarkEnergy Trans-Space.

The Trans-Spacial DarkEnergy Super-Membrane cross permeates and acts as the very 'All-Conjoining Super-Carrier-Wave' through all possible spectrum-variations and Energy Plateau Whole-Dimensions ubiquitously. And thus Trans-Space naturally occurring Einstein-Rosen pathways will allow us to chart & access vectors through where-ever/when-ever DarkEnergy Trans-Space accesses with is 'Virtually-Limitless.' Thusly we can hard-copy/chart the 'real' vector-pathways which will thereby give us a clearer picture as to the String-Membrane DarkEnergy Aexo-Trans-Space structure(s).

This burgeoning new approach to Einstein-Rosen opens the doors to connecting trans-resonant adjacent Universii so that we may ascertain whether there is truly extant said 'parallel universes' &/or 'adjacent-bubble universii.' The theory that we are neighbors to various quasi-parallel multi-variational universes that actually directly mirror to some degree our own will is the grand quest for us to prove or disprove. It makes great Sci-Fi movies but we will be able to find out if it is indeed a fact; at least more-or-less.

Intitial Einstein-Rosen NDR pathway forays have been focused on Trans-Space access to our own immediate planetary transtemporal venue(our own history). The early indicators are that the "energy-fabric of historic occurrence" is indeed of such a 'super-tenacious weave/energy-harmonic-matrix' that historic events are all but impossible to effect in any significant way. The analogy is that you may throw even a large stone into a large river; but the ripples of that stone within a strongly-established 'current-flow-pathway' change virtually 'nothing' relative to the ultimate course of the river itself. Historic-temporal-event-flow is just such a river that are ultimately governed by the 'super-currents' of DarkEnergy Aexo-Trans-Space that likely act as a the Ultimate-Carrier-Wave that ties all Spectrums & Dimensions & Universii together as on contiguous 'Whole-Cloth' Super-Membrane. And thusly the various 'String' within the 'Whole-Cloth' act like the 'connective-tissue' such as the contiguous membrane that connects the internal-organelle(s)-bio-symbiotic system with a cell; or also like unto the contiguous connective-support tissue with the body of any organic creature.

When we open the NDR-Einstein-Rosen data-port-pathway-tunnel we are making a true high-energy connection to another position at specific space-time vector-coordinates(initially to our 'past'). We are utilizing Trans-Space accessing super-conductive Hyper-Cold technology which is forming the NDR-ER-path as a High-Density focused Electro-Gravitational tunnel. At the other end this has a tendency to have a profound 'real' energetic effect which can be dangerous to molecular integrity in the target connection zone. Allegorically, this is akin being in the pathway of such as high-density micro-waves,

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Multi-Wave Oscillator](#)

Professionally Manufactured, Quiet, Solid State, Low Maintenance
[www.inventiveproducts.com](#)

[What is Quantum Jumping?](#)

Discover Why Thousands of People are "Jumping" to Change Their Life
[www.QuantumJumping.com](#)

[How to Do Meditation?](#)

Did You Know That Meditating Just 15 Min a Day Could Change Your Life
[www.SilvaLifeSystem.com](#)

[See your message here...](#)

for instance, and can also be physically disruptive & therefore dangerous, &/or be marginally deliterious. The ideal is to 'fine-tune' the technology so that this effect is minimalized to the 'recoverable' level from the experience. Again; this would be like making such adjustments which would tend to minimize the deliterious effects of 'weightlessness' on our space-exploration craft etc.

The NDR-ER-pathway project is actually joining the esoteric-science of 'EM-Field of Living-Consciousness Psi-Projection' to establish the Data-Port-Connection to the other end of the energetic Electro-Mag-Grav-NDR-ER-bridge once established. The initial-field connection actually establishes living individuals initially as the 'bridging/navigational mechanism. Remote-viewing research has established the their very bio-organic physical-energy-psyche energy matrix maintains a residual transtemporal energy connection to the 'past' which can be amplified and then projected transtemporally/transdimensionally along the Einstein-Rosen pathway. Natural high-sensitive happenstancially located individuals(at the receiving 'past' end) of the NDR-ER-bridge with High-Empathic-Quotients tend to be the 'Receivers' at that 'past' end of the NDR-ER high-energy/focused electro-mag-grav bridge.

The 'Psi-Field Projection' team are a group of highly trained a hand chosen hyper-empaths whose remote-viewing talents have been well established & developed. Within what me might be called a 'suped-up' version of a 'Faraday-Chamber' they create a high-intensity empathic-focus upon the physically present 'target-memory-location' of the focus-target-individual living person. Once the NDR-ER transtemporal-transdimensional corridor has been formed by 'tapping' through to DarkEnergy AexoTrans-Space access, then the High-Amplification Psy-Empathic Field literally tracks the Memory-Resonance pathway which is an actual hard-physics transtemporal structure; and now profoundly amplified/directed by the NDR-ER electro-mag bridge structure.

Basically 'real-transtemporal-energy-bridges' are hard-transdimensional extant artifacts linked to the living-receptor-psyche of every living human.

First contact at the target location is often made back to the very 'past-self' of the 'pathway-navigator-target psyche.'

Thus the link is actually made 'electro-physically via psi-empathic-connection' to himself; although others in the area to varying degree witness real physical effects such transtemporal distortions, and personal physical impact, and impact to objects in the immediate environment. Individuals of higher-empathic-quotient in the direct flux-field of the NDR-ER-bridge will likely actually receive whatever hard-information is being projected be the 'operator(s)/navigator(s)' at the NDR-ER base.

Because the NDR-ER bridge is effectually utilizing a high-density compressed/focused-Electro-mag-transgravity phenomenon-technology which is part-&-parcel to the energy-density-level of Aexo-Trans-Space, this tends to make the 'receiver'(s) end of the NDR-ER quasi-Psi-empathic connection to create a somewhat confusing multi-deja-vu/like echo.

Current investigations center on the project's discerning whether that 'deja-multi-echo' effect/ phenomenon could actually be evidence that several 'convergent-parallel/& or/tangential time-lines may be actually conjoining the memories/experience of several 'parallel-selves' of the 'pathway-navigator.' Maybe possibly a 'reciever' may be actually jumping/swapping places which his own tangential time-time 'quasi-parallel' self; even permanently. This would obviously be an excursion into the 'weird.' But Einstein rightly said, "The extended-cosmos is not only 'weirder' than we think, it is weirder than we 'can think!' (paraphrased)

In other words; the NDR-ER psi-link up might be causing the 'receptor-end' to be witnessing quasi-simultaneously more than one variation of 'NOW' which would tend to have various empathic-witnesses-receptors think that they were seriously 'losing it.' The physical effects of the receiving end can actually also tend to disrupt matter(organic &/or otherwise' as the atomic/molecular level. Obviously this technology has been under developement for longer than we are currently able to report; but it is yet in its infancy. This is 'remote-viewing/remote-receiving' with a 'howitzer,' so to speak.

Potentially this could actually be used as a 'weapon' at the receiving end due to its serious potential to disrupt electro-magnetic fields; people's sanity; & or the physical integrity of solid objects &/or living organisms.

Curiously: just such 'bizarre' phenomenon was report by the "3rd Reich" war machine during World War II, but whether this actually altered the course &/or duration of that war is a tenuous speculation at this point. But then that is exactly the types of 'things' that the project research is out to examine, quantify, and perfect; if possible.

But possibly the most profound, yet pervasively subtle, result of our forays into the application of such technologies is that our past 'selves' are being made 'more' aware of our future-selves existence. And here-in may lie the profound potential that there might be in progress within these developing technologies that their is happening thereby the very real

'mobius-effect' on accelerating the 'speed-rate' of the developement of 'Mankind' into our hopefully bright future. We maynot be 'altering' the course of events; but we might be getting their ahead of schedule. And this may be a very exciting 'quasi-alteration' indeed!

-Jake Harbach-O'Suilleabhain/O'Sullivan

Windows Live: Friends get your Flickr, Yelp, and Digg updates when they e-mail you.
<http://www.microsoft.com/middleeast/windows/windowslive/see-it-in-act...>

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

[dean sinclair](#) [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Dec 28 2009, 4:29 pm

O.K. Jack,
You-all ,and your engineers, are apparently riding a bit ahead of my poor little O/S theory. although it seems to project most of what you are saying

. A little recent addition to the Model, or rather a new realization of a fundamental idea is the use of the little "balance" equation, $xy=K=xy$ with the speed of light as the constant, K. The implications are that every wavelength/frequency has a reversed, balancing frequency/wavelength, which means that any electrical fluctuatiion would set up an oscillator which would continue "forever." this might well imply that certain sensitives could tune-in to patterns....

O/S would seem to be able to encompass what you are saying. A "membrane" would be analogous to what is happening with the electrona and proton but with different scale oscillators....String simply is the path a point follows, it has alway been considered trivial to note that the path followed by a point in space can be considered to be there before the point followed it; but, perhaps that is not so ,and string theory may have some validity in the sense of the multitude of paths may have been followed in a multitude of ways such that some are "worn in" and can be accessed.....

So, how goes it where ever in the world you are now? It's a miserably cold mess here in South Dakota at the moment.

Dean
Dean LeRoy Sinclair (BA, MS, PHD and still plain old PWT)

On Mon, Dec 28, 2009 at 2:33 PM, Jack O Suilleabhain <

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

[ESKI](#) [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Feb 4 2010, 5:52 pm

A note in Discovery Magazine, which is on the shelves at the moment, talking of the 100 big stories if the year notes that there has been shown that atoms can be set up to be totally coordinated and keep that coordination even when spatially separated. Whet you are saying seems somewhat akin, but on a much larger scale.

Also, probably pertinent is that if "c" be taken as the balance constant of a set, frequency times wavelength equals "c" equals wavelength times frequency, then it can be postulated that there is for every wavelength/frequency pair ever generated there is also a congruent set generated, these two can be taken to define the characteristic frequencies of a pair of coupled oscillators. Taken this way, everything that has ever happened may be encoded as oscillators in the "substrate" of existence. This is very, very close to your transdimensional pathways which would seem to more or less add up to tying into repetative coordinated sets.....ESKI

On Dec 28 2009, 2:33 pm, Jack O Suilleabhain

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance

+ Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Errors and Omissions of the CODATA: The Planck Constants

Options

9 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ollin [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Feb 5 2010, 12:04 pm

I, Everybody,

Please, consider my recent work entitled, "Errors and Omissions of the CODATA and the Planck Constants Based on the Fundamental Physical Constants".

In this brief study, selected CODATA fundamental physical constants are researched in an effort to identify the implied computational foundations that serve as a basis for deriving the Planck constants. The Planck constants are reverse engineered as of the CODATA recommendations. Various Earth/matrix Tables of the Planck Constants illustrate the terms and numerical values that derive each Planck constant listed in the CODATA. The derivations are accomplished, not through the CODATA symbolic formulae, but rather based upon the fundamental physical constants.

Initially, I had hoped to understand the computational procedure that Max Planck may have used to derive the numerical values of his constants. During my studies, I came to distinguish how Max Planck may have derived his values, and problems with the CODATA numerical values for the Planck Constants. I had not expected to find any errors or omissions in the CODATA, but that is what my research has ultimately uncovered.

In this regard, various errors and omissions in the CODATA values are identified and discussed in my study, a few of which are the following:

- A contradictory numerical basis between Planck's constant [6.62606896] and the other nine constants
- The omission of listing the Planck implied energy constant [1.956084456 fractal];
- The incorrect assignment of the symbolic formula to Planck energy [1.220892]
- An omission of an identifiable conversion constant [1.2316143]
- The failure to identify alternate constant numerical values as of the root expressions in the key symbolic formulae depending on the unit of measurement;
- An inconsistency in the use of constant numerical values for Planck implied mass [3.387040993 and 3.487040993] in five Planck constants.

Proposals are offered for adjusting the CODATA Planck constants in terms of their numerical values, as well as, how some of the errors may be addressed. The omissions identified in the book speak for themselves and suggest being included in the CODATA. Numerous other proposals are made, all of which are based on the numerical values of the CODATA.

Excerpts from the book have been posted on the Internet:

http://earthmatrix.com/sciencetoday/planckconstant/planck_units_funda...

Thank you for your attention and I would appreciate any feedback that you may wish to offer me in my research efforts, as that is the

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Siemens Energy Solutions](#)
135 Years of Electrical Engineering
Discover the Latest Innovations.
www.siemens.com/energy/services

[Better Energy Management](#)
Estimate More Efficient Operations.
Try Our Efficiency Calculator!
makeyourbuildingswork.com

[Bloomberg Government](#)
Exclusive Insight and Analysis of
Energy Industry News.
www.bgov.com

[See your message here...](#)

only way to improve one's work.

My best,

Charles

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Feb 5 2010, 5:06 pm

Hi, Charles, Welcome to our group. I shall try to take a look at what you are saying more closely. Philosophically, at least from my view, calculating Planck's Constant from the other constants--I have to confess that I'm not aware of the CODATA work so I'll have to "get up to speed" there--may be a bit backward, as in my "research" if it can be called that--Planck's Constant and the Speed of Light would qualify as the two fundamental constants, with all of the others most likely being in some manner or another derived from some combination of these two.

My ideas originally started from what might be called basic communication theory. That is, it seems apparent that communication by electromagnetic waves can be considered as information carried at an average velocity of "c," by rotating entities, Planck's constant has the dimensions of angular momentum, The whole model took off from there....

I hate to confess that I haven't yet learned the basis of the "Planck Energy," or any of the the other fundamental values that Planck derives. One "Energy" which appears rather automatically would be the "Energy" ascribable to the frequency that would be found by taking the inverse of Planck's Constant. Logic here is that if one divided out to "One" all the motion components involved in the motion package that we call "Energy," except for a value associated with a fundamental frequency, we could write the equation, "1 = hu," hence, "u" would equal 1/h in whatever units "h," be counted.... I haven't checked to see if this is what Planck did....I used the idea to figure the probable high (and low) frequency cut-off(s) for communication in a Perceptual Universe in which "h" and "c" are valid.

Again. Welcome. I look forward to useful interaction for both our projects
ESKI (Dean L Sinclair)

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Feb 5 2010, 5:48 pm

After reading your material, I see what you are saying and see that the Planck Energy is very different from what my previous comment was. I also note the very interesting fact that you did not need to include the Gravitational Constant in your work., The "O/S" approach would consider that the idea of gravitation as an attractive force is in error, that, in fact, gravitation is part of a much more general phenomenon of differential pressures in the "Substrate of Existence," Hence, logically, a "constant" based on an erroneous concept would not be a true "constant" and, therefore, can be "gone around, or ignored."

I note, also, however, that Planck's Constant seems to appear whether one uses it to derive the other values, or the other values to derive it. That seems to fit with my guess that it is a "true" constant, whatever the exact numerical value be.

What may have been hiding its significance all of these years is that Planck, although he used an oscillator model in analyzing his data, never seemed to realize that his "Constant of Action" was also a "Universal Constant of Angular Momentum...." ESKI

On Feb 5, 4:06 pm, dean sinclair <deanlsinclair@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

Discussion subject changed to "BRAVO: Errors and Omissions of the CODATA: The Plan

Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#) [More options](#) Feb 6 2010, 12:10 pm

**BRAVO: Your observations are why I proposed to introduce back-linking/cross-linking Einstein's classic

formula $E=MC^2$ back to Planck. I noticed that it all tended to create

increasing 'inconsistencies' (that your work identified quite nicely) UNLESS 'E'nergy

were identified as an IRON-CLAD content within the Universe as the BASE AMBIENT ENERGY

CONSTANT LEVEL which is ubiquitously pervasive and BY THEOREM-LAW 'non-excludable' from any quantum medium.

Thusly; there can be no extant state as ABSOLUTE ENERGY VACUUM below the BAE-Constant. And your work allows us to 'work-back' from there to 'line-up' the numbers with cross-theoretical consistency/accuracy. Again I say: BRAVO!

In short my projection indicated that the BAE-Constant should be the basis of Planck-Einstein future adjustments.

And from their to have 'Einstein' solve for MASS seemed to clarify the whole issue at revised $M=EC^2$;

and adjusting Planck's Constant from that standard mark of revised Einstein a la' the BAE-Constant of 'E.'

Using 'E' as a variable term seemed to engender general computational/theoretical 'relative' chaos/inharmony/inconsistency between the very valid 'meat' of various very-good theoretical work.

And that situation of 'computational-disclarity' has prevented us a a GESTALT-MIND/World Scientific Community from

linking up to function as a Global-SuperTeam to be utilizing a clear-UNIFIED FIELD understanding that

would serve to dove-tail/fit these various theoretical (stellar-insights) into one smoothrunning computational

machine. And this is the necessary final-theoretical-computational step for our MEGA-MIND/MEGA-THEORY to

become the INTERSTELLAR-TECHNOLOGY that we are so tantillizingly close to attaining.

IGOOD-LUCK with your work and CONGRATULATIONS on your insights. Jack Harbach O'Sullivan

[- Show quoted text -](#)

Hotmail: Free, trusted and rich email service.
<https://signup.live.com/signup.aspx?id=60969>

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

Discussion subject changed to "Errors and Omissions of the CODATA: The Planck Const

ollin [View profile](#) [More options](#) Feb 6 2010, 12:47 pm

Hello Dean Sinclair and Friends,

Thank you for your kind attention regarding my research on the CODATA Planck constants.

The fact that all of the Planck constants may be derived from the CODATA fundamental physical constants, in my mind, places the former in a secondary position and the cited fundamental physical constants on the tables in a primary position. In fact, all of the Planck constants are reduced values as of the elementary charge, 2π or the reciprocal of the speed of light. Being that the case, I find it difficult to imagine that the Planck constants reflect the natural units as proposed by Max Planck himself. The fact that Planck's constant may be derived as of an implied numerical value of 3.387040993 for implied mass, while the other Planck constants employ a 3.4870766395 value for that category causes me to consider the possibility of a contradiction of terms. This contradiction of terms invalidates the value of Planck's constant in my view. I have gone into great detail as the reasons why I consider this to be so in my book mentioned in the essay [\[http://earthmatrix.com/sciencetoday/planckconstant/planck_units_fundamental_constants_codata_errors.html\]](http://earthmatrix.com/sciencetoday/planckconstant/planck_units_fundamental_constants_codata_errors.html).

In summary, the Planck constants employ two different numerical values for the same category of implied mass.

Your comments have been extremely helpful to me in further considering the different relationships among the CODATA fundamental physical constants in general. I have added an additional table or two to the ones originally listed in the essay. These tables concern the relevancy of the implied numerical value of energy [1.956084456] and how six different CODATA fundamental physical constants represent in fact the same/similar numerical value. In the book there are over thirty different tables that explain the contradictions that I have perceived in the CODATA values. The problem with the book is that it contains around 150 pages and is far too large to post on my web-site for now.

I expect to be posting additional tables to the essay in order to cover some of the points that others have been sending me now. I too look forward to receiving your extended comments and I appreciate your interest in my work, and the opportunity offered to it for group discussion.

My best, Charles

On 5 Feb, 16:06, dean sinclair <deansincl...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Feb 9 2010, 7:02 pm

A problem with all of this may be the definition of "mass" and the definition of "Energy" neither one of which has been ever truly defined. I use these working definitions which you might want to consider. Energy" a general term for a "package of motion." Mass: A mesure of the tension at the surface of an entity or the composite surfaces of a compound entity, of all of the vibrational-rotational motion content, (with some contribution of translational motion among components) as opposed to the "rest of the Substrate of Existence."

What we usually speak of as Energy usually refers to Kinetic Energy, the motion content contained in motion of entities relative to one another, measurable when they come into contact....

As I have noted before, if we write " $l = h \times Nu$," we can find a value of "Energy" which may well represent the "motion content" of our particular "Examinable Universe," i.e., the reciprocal of "Planck's Constant," whatever that number may really be.

I've noted in a number of "pages" that if we equate Planck's Constant to its definition as an ANGULAR MOMENTUM rather than an "Action," and evaluate the result at , "c," which, by its very definition as a speed, can be considered an average velocity of communication in any direction, one obtains the equation,
 $m \times r = h/c = r \times m$. This little equation can be taken to define a family of constant-torque oscillators having a central value where $m = r = (h/c)^{0.5}$. (The assumption is made of "symmetry" such that the commutative law of multiplication can be applied to find all the limits, if one limit be known.

This writer uses the assumption that the "rest mass" of any entity is actually an observed oscillator limit. If the rest mass of the electron and the rest mass of the proton are fed into this equation to determine the other oscillator limits it is noted that the corresponding "r" to the rest mass is the "Compton wavelength" of the particular particle.

That's the short, short course in one very basic "insight" that leads to the "O/S" Model.
Cheers, ESKI

...

On 2/6/10, ollin <kawil...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Feb 26 2010, 5:41 pm

Charles,
Looks like I'm replying to myself. However, I have something that I'd like to see done.; It appears that Planck's Constant shows up as a ratio in a number of different contexts. You also note that the current value is an "average."

I think that it would be interesting to calculate Planck's Constant in as many ways as we can find to do so and then do a statistical averaging of the results to get an RMS average and a Standard Deviation.

I don't know if you know that Planck's Constant also appears as a "Balance Constant" if one multiplies the Rest Mass, and Compton Wavelength for any unit, eg. electron, proton, etc., together, then multiplies the result by the Speed of Light. It would be interesting to see how well the "Constant" calculated this way would fit with the various ratios which can be used to calculate it.

This idea would seem to fit in with your work. Let me know what you think.

Dean

On Feb 9, 6:02 pm, dean sinclair <deansincl...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

ollin [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Mar 1 2010, 5:36 pm

"Hello Dean,

Thank you for your comments and suggestions. Just today I was examining Compton's wavelength in regard to some of the other fundamental constants. I have drawn up a brief table and added it within the essay already cited in previous contributions to this forum. I would like to invite you to take a look at it, along with the other recently added tables, and if possible offer me your comments in that regard and your insight has been extremely helpful to me.

Reciprocal of Compton wavelength is Planck's implied length
 $1 / 3.8615926459 = 2.5896051$

Compton wavelength divided by atomic mass constant energy equivalent is Planck's implied length
 $3.8615926459 / 1.492417830 = 2.587474210$ [similar]

There are other similar pairs, for example:

Inverse fine structure constant divided by Bohr Radius is Planck's implied length
 $1.3703599679 / 5.2917720859 = 2.5896501$

In the new table there are various pairs of fundamental physical constants that derive Planck's implied length. Significantly enough, two of them refer to the Compton wavelength as shown above. In fact, as shown, the Compton wavelength is the reciprocal of Planck's implied length. So, different pairs of fundamental physical constants produce the same/similar numerical values relating to the Planck constants. This supports your idea about deriving the average numerical values in that regard.

I believe that what you suggested is coincidentally what I was examining on the train to Atlanta today. I apologize for not always being able to answer promptly because my day-job keeps me busy and distracted from my work in earth/matrix. If you wish, I could send you a copy of my book about the CODATA recommended fundamental physical constants, as I consider it will support and complement many of the ideas that you are suggesting in this forum.

My best, Charles

On 26 feb, 16:41, ESKI <deansincl...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Mar 2 2010, 5:06 pm

Hi, Charles,
I would definitely like to have a copy of your book, it would help me better understand your work.. My mailing address is Dean L. Sinclair, 221 Eighth Ave. SE Apt. 7, Aberdeen, SD57401.

From what you are saying, you have progressed well beyond what is in the book. Maybe, I can be of help when you write the second edition!

For my own work, I need a thorough understanding of the various Planck's values and how they interrelate. I am a bit confused by your reference to the Compton Wavelength, which has a definition dependent upon which ever "particle" it is associated with. Without studying your essay closely, it seems that Planck may have been associating many of his values with the

"rest mass" of the proton. Is this correct?

Taking the logical position that the ratio of any two constants of nature will produce another constant, there may be quite a bit of information which can be gleaned from various ratios among the various constants.

My view, however, is that Planck's Constant may be very important, but the various values ascribed to it may also mean that it is an average value.

Central, of course, to my work is the "torque constant," h/c , which I have mentioned before which has led to the idea of the set equation $\{m \times r = h/c = r \times m\}$ being very possibly a defining equation for oscillators central to "existence."

Later, Dean

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance

+ Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

'Get Back in Time.' Back to the future. How Light Speed makes Time Travel possible.

Options

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Feb 13 2010, 12:27 am

Having scanned everything submitted, the who of what essentials being sought, is lost in the swamp of theorizes and equations, regardless of where they first originated. This is just a repeat - a down to earth one - in the going back to the future in order for the sum total of equalization the O/S theory for which ESKI - the owner of this site and his search - began.

In the Time Space Dimensions for which he has been eager to 'get off the ground' it is vital in order to be of assistance to him, that we revise in magnitude to 'level' out what is is he wanted, rather than shoot of at tangents only complicating the issue.

The fact that there is nothing which does not oscillate within it's own given field, we could therefore bring in too many factors which would overshadow his potential answer.

So I bring us back to the future, in a version of re-submitting the aid of 'getting off the ground'. Otherwise... none of us will be alive to see anything of what he embarked on from the beginning in this, exercise. Take it or leave it... the facts cannot be changed. Thank you,
ka-sala

Get Back inTime.

Buckle up your seat belts if you are ready, because it's back to the future again: and how Light Speed makes Time Travel possible.

Lets try again to make the specifics a little easier to understand. It really is about time to get off the ground in a much more sophisticated way than being piggy backed into Outer Space. So hang on tight; because in truth, it pans out to Double the Speed of Light!

Science has been giving it their best shot, but, all things take time, and for some, it's just a 'key-hole' away. Whether it is or it isn't believed, does not change the Specifics of how it can be possible.

Firstly, we need something that is easy to maneuver. It's called a Light Craft. It must at least travel at 6 x Earth's Given Time. Imagine 'we' are from another planet, and our Time = 6. So the example we will use to keep this understandable, is via the figure of 6. Lets call it the Key, required to achieve this.

We have chosen 6 because of the rotational speed of the earth spinning on its axis, to its speed in revolutions around the sun once every 365 days. Plus the gravity of the earth's Moon is 6 times weaker than the earth.

Planet Earth's own Specifics will aid all to see it in Time. In 'customary units' the figure 6 is an understandable escape velocity between the Earth's relation to is own Gravitational Force in it's Solar System. We, have just called a year One Day, as Time = Zero in

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

About this group

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Alternative Energy - BP](#)

See how BP's advanced technologies are expanding energy production. www.bp.com/energymix

[Bloomberg Government](#)

Exclusive Insight and Analysis of Recent Government Actions. www.bgov.com

[Improve Building Control](#)

Save Money Through Increased Energy Efficiency. Download Case Study makeyourbuildingswork.com

[See your message here...](#)

Outer Space.

Then use it, in saying, for every 6 days earthlings would take to travel in, we would take one day (our Space-Time Day,) for the same distance.

Using this Distance derived from the Given Speed of Earth days it would take to travel, we then must multiply this speed by 6, traveling at 24 hours non stop around the clock. This will make the generalization much easier to grasp; staying within limits of a speed which can first be comprehended.

EG. Think! Starting at only '100 kmh.' (+ - 62 mph.) Slower can sometimes make things easier to grasp the concept. Then multiply that $100 \times 24 \text{ hours} = 2,400 \text{ km.}$ (+ - 9,000 mph.) and we have covered one earth day.

Now, $\times 6$ (our proportional given value of 6 days) it would = 14,400 km. per our Space-Time Day. This is referred to as the Given Unit, or the Sum Total of the 'Distance in Time', in 'One Unit'. ie. We have traveled 14,400 kmh. (+ - 9,00 mph.) = One Unit.

To comprehend anything here, never forget, this analogy equation is at only 100 kmh. (+ - 62 mph.) The answer = 14,400 km. (+ - 9,000 m.) transversed in 24 Hours of Earth's Given Time!

The Circumference at the Earth's Equator is +- 40,075 km. (+ - 25,000.m.) At this Specific Speed in Time this would measure just over 2 and a half times round the world in 24 hours at 'only 100 kmh. (+ - 62 mph.) Try that one!

* In Fact..Consider this, in Light. (Take your time.)

* Distance = Time to Transverse

* Speed = .Energy required to Transverse.

*One Solar Day = 1 'Revolution' of Time.

Why are we using the term One 'Solar Day' here? Lets just go to the nearest figure of 6 again, as being the closest major digit at the earth's polar radius, and it's equatorial radius. We use this to measure the revolutions on it's axis.

* Remember this is just an example, and why we have slowed right down to 100 kmh. (+ - 62 mph.) to make it easier to 'visualize'.

* This is the Given Specific Speed, which remains unaltered with Time.

* Thus the Energy generated is Light Wave.

* The Distance Transversed in One Day of Our/Space Given Time.

Universal Law here means, an Energy Force. It has a Positive and a Negative Pole combined. If you know this Energy Force, you will know all energy has its' constructive and destructive Elements. 'Earth' it into the tri... the same as one would 'earth' electricity - for in this case Earth is home base - if you want to get back to the future, you will need to get back to earth also!

All energy is utilized. All, is Light Energy. 'Black' light - only means visibly unseen - and is on either side of the visible spectrum. It is the 'dark' we see in Outer Space, which becomes our night when we see the stars. In this, are the slower waves - just as radio are found beyond the red - of the visible spectrum. Even wireless Internet is also within this electromagnetic spectrum, in which, via it, we too even utilize its interconnection to the other side of the planet in a instant. As instant as the switch of a radio, (or light!)

The speed of light must accommodate the 'black light' to travel through (the energy we cannot see,) and within this forms the vacuum. There is a 'barrier' which must be broken for the speed of light, as equally, as sound must break it's barrier, to be super-sonic. But, like the jet breaking the sound barrier, which has to break through the 'atmospheric pressure' to break the sound barrier, only then it is super-sonic speed.

When this 'black light' in both ends of the invisible spectrum (super electromagnetic energy,) closes in, it creates this 'vacuum' to travel in. It also acts as the boost. In other words, the light must propel

to reach it's speed, just as a jet must propel through the atmosphere. The result then would be - though the light travels within it's vacuum created - it is being 'doubly propelled' from the 'black light' closing in from behind it, which doubles the force.

If Rocket Speed is +- 4,195.4 kmh / + - 2,606.9 mph. is it any wonder this question is asked; when the difference is between 300,000 km per second (+ - 186,000 mps!) The Rocket doesn't rely on light; meaning, all the electromagnetic waves - from radio waves to x-rays (black light,) - to travel in. It is measured in 'Speed' but here we have entered Time Specifics of Velocity.

Here we must not get too complicated, except to say; use the mind's eye to see Time and Speed becoming One Specific. There is where even we, as an astronaut, must be able to stay within a specific quantum vibrational shift in a Speed Time dimension.

Sunlight is still measured as the speed of light 300,000 kms. (+ - 86,000.mps.) When one looks at the rising or setting sun at horizon level, we see into a 'tunnel', between us and it. It is shaded in like an eclipse (as a corona surrounds the brightness.) Between us and the sun, there is instantaneous space within a shaded protected tunnel - we could liken to a vacuum - which is still Light Energy.

Through this, it connects us immediately via this vacuum/tunnel safety, plus as instant as Time is measured. We could then 'visualize in our mind's eye, a measurement of time referred to as an Instant. Then, because we are 'shielded' in this tunnel it is 'in an instant of Time'. And yet, the Sun does not light up 'inside the earth' through the 'Black Space/Light' (or dark energies,) until it interacts with the border-line between earth's spheres and outer space.

Thus this Radius of Time Travel is given only within that of one's own Earth's Given Field, explaining the Speed of Light, excelling to an Instant of Time. We have only briefed on these Specifics here, but who will help build this Light Craft, and get back... to Earth in Time?

Truth is... if any of us try to get ahead of ourselves, we will be of no help to each other. It would be like leaving out the architect of the entire design, and expecting to make it home alone.

If you think this is child's play... Why hasn't it been done ?

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

[ESKI](#) [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Feb 17 2010, 5:27 pm

Yes, KaSala,
If we get ahead of ourselves, we do not finish what we are doing now. With the O/S work, it seems clear that considering an Existence within a Substance/Substrate controlled by/consisting of oscillators seems to offer possible explanations for almost anything except the final/ first constituent of the "Substance/Substrate" itself, i.e. the Fact of Existence.

The various ideas that have developed here encompass everything from a new view of what are electrons and protons, what is the meaning of "Mass," and of "Energy." to the implications of the "Overlooked Simple Master Equation," the Commutative Law of Multiplication, $AxBxCz...= K =Cx AyBz...$ which appears in so many forms and which, in concert with the concept of an "All pervasive Substrate/ Substance," implies that such phenomena as telepathy, and "Einstein Rosen Pathways" may be possible.

'Reasons for the stability of the Deuteron, the formation of He4 in electrolysis of Deuterium Oxide, "Heavy Water," and many other phenomena fall in naturally. Perhaps, the problem that I am having in putting this together is there is so much that it correlates that I seem to want to cover it all! Show how Space Time and Quantum Mechanics fit in as fragments, how some parts of the "Standard Model of Particle Physics," can be salvaged to make sense.....

The implications and applications of this little model seem to be almost limitless, and therein lies the problem of writing it up. There is easily a large book possible, one far beyond the time and resources available to a 78 yr. old with no real connections in the scientific world.

To make it worse, even were this all written up as a large book, who would read it? Mills of Hydrino Theory wrote up a large book, which I tried to read, and gave up on. There are many tomes out there of Theories of Everything, and all get essentially the same response from everybody, "Ho, hum. Just another Crackpot Theory." No one seems to realize that much of current "Scientific Dogma" may be very close to being "Just Another Crackpot Theory." For one instance, the Hadron Collider was/is a multi-billion dollar experimental device, created on the basis of some theory which in my estimation contains some of the most "Crackpot" ideas ever to sneak into "mainstream" science. For instance, Looking for the Higgs Boson, a hypothetical particle that bestows Gravity? How far out into Sci-Fi can one go?

I'm trying to cut this whole thing down to size. Have about twenty three pages on another computer at home that I haven't figured out how to get transferred out of it onto the Internet for criticism and comment. Unfortunately, to get that material to a "modern" Internet Connection may be a problem. although the computer it is on will probably put it onto a "hard floppy." the Internet Computers that I have access to all are such that they won't take anything older than a CD. Oy vey!

I have one person, not a signed on member of the group, a contact from another direction who says that there is a possibility that he could present it at a Symposium in London in July, but that is far from a certainty.... Would be nice to get some semi-"main stream" exposure.....

Hang in there everybody.

Footnote to: Al and Jack,

you two don't talk anything like the same language, yet both of you are looking at fundamental constant situations, one of you has an idea for a fundamental Acceleration constant, the other speaks of a fundamental Energy constant, as the Energy Constant would be related to the Acceleration Constant, assuming that both of you are correct in your suppositions, the ideas of the two of you should co-join at some point.

As both of you are probably talking essentially of Kinetic Energy of Translation, both of your constants may well be related to the "Constant Temperature of Outer Space," which, in turn, would most likely be a "Zero Point Translational Energy Level," distinct from "Zero-Point Energy" which would be the lowest vibrational-rotational motion content possible for a given entity, independent of its translational motion.

Cheers, ESK!

On Feb 12, 11:27 pm, ka-sala <irrir...@gmail.com> wrote:

- Show quoted text -

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance

+ Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

BALANCE... an OSCILLATING SCIENCE in Velocity and Time.

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Mar 7 2010, 12:39 am

*** A repeat with a twist, of going back to the future, and finding the answer was already there. Lost in the maze of equations and theory.

Analogy.

Using the Earth. Something no-one has been able to duplicate in time and space!

'As above... so below.' Balance.

*** Quote. 'Truth is ever to be found in the simplicity, and not in the multiplicity and confusion of things.' * Izaak Newton.

BALANCE... an OSCILLATING SCIENCE in Velocity and Time. Balance within a Wave Band is still a Frequency, a Vibration, just as the Universal Hum could be likened to the Frequency of Music. Tibetan Monks try to encapsulate it in their chant.

Using the analogy of the vibrational note of Middle C = 440 Oscillation per second - from Middle C to the 7th note is a Scale in Music - as likewise all sound has a Frequency, a Vibration ... and in vibration there is movement. Lets call it the 'Balance Wave'. With the Earth's population up to 7 billion, it seems against all odds, for keeping the balance at all in even population explosion.

There is movement in the air, and the pace of life is trying to keep up. But something has to give, and underneath it all, the work goes on. The Radius of the Earth also fits close to the figure 7, in 7,000 Km. upward to it's Outer Sphere. Just for some understanding of where this is leading to, keep the 7 in mind. There are also 7 Rays withing the visible Light Spectrum.

Now... see this Light as a Vibration from the outer Circle of the Earth, working inward to the Center as Waves. This is just the opposite - lets call it of the pebble effect - where waves form in rings would form from the center out. The Earth is 40,075 Km..at it's circumference. (The Numerical Key of this figure is 7 !) Think of this Wave Vibration being like Surround Sound if it helps understand this as vibration.

With these circular waves growing smaller in circumference as they grow inward towards the center, when meeting in the middle, one flash of Light will Amplify within conjunction ... Radiating as a Do-nut - with the Balance Wave, when silence will fill (the balance with the Hum!) All... is at One. It would be like saying Time stood still, as 'Everything' is in Balance of Space and Time.

So where's the big bang gone? Out the window of theory, where more than the eye can see, and 'some science' has been overlooked.

Remember we are using the Analogy of the Earth.

If there were only 10,000 wave vibration, and each being a Time

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Free MP3 Music Downloads](#)

More than 15000000 Mp3 and videos

Easy, Fast - start downloading now [www.iMesh.com](#)

[How to Do Meditation?](#)

Did You Know That Meditating Just 15 Min a Day Could Change Your Life

[www.SilvaLifeSystem.com](#)

[EMC Velocity Program](#)

Expand your SMB Wallet. Sell EMC's

New VNXe Unfied Storage. Free Paper

[www.emc.com/sellvnx](#)

[See your message here...](#)

Capsule within itself of 440 granules which have their own specific time to trigger a wave - which would be their Oscillation/Vibration per. Second - using the Middle C's analogy - that would make 4,400,000. per minute = 264,000,000, and in 1 x hour = 15,840,000,000. When brought up to 24 hours = E 380.16 at infinitum. (E = a Transcendental Number.) Reaching into the Ionosphere, are also Electromagnetic properties.

A phenomenal build up is created in closing in, where Oscillation simply would be a literal Vibrational explosion of Brilliant Light at the splay from the central point. One might even say it would take 7 days of a week for the Balance Wave to form needle fine Sky High (to the atmospheric halo,) Light Works, enveloping the Earth. Within this time, Time as it is known, would stand still. A Big Silence in place of a big bang!

So despite how far fetched the whole concept of Balance on Earth is within these statements; we may just get a glimpse of how. For Science of the Stardom is one thing which is yet to be learned here on earth, and is not ancient rockets, piggy-backed up to the System of it's Sun. Balance, is an Oscillating Science of Life, in as much as a Way of Life and Universal. Everything is linked to the Cosmic Nature of all Elemental Particles.

Lose sight of this and we've lost sight of the O/S plot searched for! Call it by any other name... but when we can hang the Earth on 'nothing' as in Space, we have overcome the Gravity Lock, which binds us as if prisoners to the Light, and we remain earth-bound within it's space instead.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Notification.

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Mar 7 2010, 12:51 am

Please Note.

Due to moving house... It 'maybe' I am off the net for a while. If any do not receive a reply - or wish to do so - please carry on as normal, and I will be able to see, when again opened.

Best wishes to you all,
ka-sala

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

« [Back to Discussions](#)

« [Newer topic](#) [Older topic](#) »

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Comcast Movers Edge](#)

Conveniently Set Up or Transfer Phone, Internet and Cable Services
www.comcast.com/move

[Is He A Cheater?](#)

1) Search His Email Address Fast.
2) See Hidden Pics & Profiles Now!
Spokeo.com/Find-Cheaters

[Thoughts in advance...](#)

Forget to send out a Birthday Card?
We'll do it for you, for 10yrs.
www.thoughtsinadvance.com

[See your message here...](#)

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

The One Force of Nature. Also, some miscellaneous progress.

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Mar 29 2010, 4:28 pm

Hi, Everybody,

Here is a little post that I just put on another site that is pertinent to us.

" ... the required force is present based on Nature resisting the concentration of energy."

This quote, cut from a previous writer, is the thing that no one seems actually to realize,.

This defines the one and only true "Force" that there is.

The above can be restated, to an equivalent statement, " Nature tends toward a minimal motion condition for each and every component of it."

Carefully analyzed, this, again, is very close to being a restatement of the Law of Forces, "For each and every force (action) there is an equal and opposite force (action)."

What we don't think about is that this reaction--since it is sequential, (following in Time, if you wish)-- is, itself, sn action, which provokes another action-reaction interaction.... Therefore, Nature, Reality, is always in a constant state of oscillations.

Ergo:The Oscillator/Substance Model.

I repeat, here, for emphasis, "There are not 'Four Forces of Nature,' there is but one "

We have just defined it above.

DLS

I hope to have some exciting news for you-all within a week or so. Stay tuned.

For one thing, I'm pretty sure that following up some implications of our model has resulted in an understanding of the Aufbau of the isotopes; an explanation of radioactivity--(haven't worked out details here yet,)--and the break through that should make "Cold Fusion" a very viable reality for "Energy" production. This insight, if correct-- and I'd make a sizable bet on it, had I any way to do so-- is very exciting.

Details of this last mentioned may not get posted until August, or later, as I've just now sent the ideas to an "associate" who has a laboratory that should be able to test out the ideas. Haven't, of course, heard back with confirmation of the validity of the breakthrough,

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Fluke® Vibration Tester](#)
Reduce Downtime and Increase Efficiency. Free Diagnostic Report!
[Fluke.com/Vibration_Testers](#)

[Low Cost Linear Motion](#)
Full range of engineered solutions
- Linear guides, bearings actuators
[www.PBClinear.com](#)

[Test It Today](#)
One-day Service
Limited Time Offer
[www.Wyle.com](#)

[See your message here...](#)

After all---Just sent the info. ten minutes, ago..!:-))

I'm, also, working on trying to get our work into a symposium in Europe in July. Should know in a week or so if this is going to be a "Go."

Can't recall if I posted my article on Matter/Anti-matter which is on Helium.com, here. I'd better recheck, if I didn't I'll get a copy in here. If I already did, I'd suggest you all re-read it. I think it is worth some thought and there may be implications that I haven't caught on to myself. Dean (ESKI)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

O/S and Light (Copy of body of a letter to another scientist.) Options

1 message - Collapse all - Report discussion as spam

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Apr 5 2010, 6:24 pm

Looking at my site, it appears that the closest that I came to addressing the topic of light, and then not by name, was the article, "Quantization, a 3-D Merrigoround?"

Since analyzing electromagnetic waves as information carrier is a basis of the model, I have been remiss! As you will be studying light, maybe you can confirm, or burn holes into, my theorizing. So here goes,

1. Light is a wave motion caused by a change in the condition of an oscillator. This usually will be a change in frequency of the oscillator with the oscillator either absorbing a harmonic, or emitting one...(Takes in a set of other oscillators or drops them out?)

2. At its wave front, light as a wave motion can be considered to have an instantaneous surface with a tension at that surface, hence a "mass." That mass may be estimated by taking the wave length of the light as being the radius in the equation, $m \times r = h/c$. There are a couple of situations which would be of particular interest. One of these is the situation where frequency equal wavelength equals $c^{0.5}$ and the mass would therefore equal $h/c^{1.5}$.

Another situation of interest arises when one writes the Planck equation, $E=hu$ at a value "h" of E. i.e. the total "Energy" motion content where in "E" is the unit of whatever system we are using. In this case, freq. equal $1/h$, and wavelength equals "ch" if this system were allowed to oscillate, it can be seen that there is a complementary set, where frequency is "ch" and the wavelength is "h." The first set would be the "high frequency cut-off," the second the "low frequency cut-off" for communication in a system where "h/c" is the "Balance Constant."

It may be noted that one could suggest that these may represent the limits of size of that above noted "communication system." With the light wave, under ideal conditions, expanding from the highest frequency, shortest wavelength to a lowest frequency wave length and 'rebounding.'

Now as to "polarization," as most electromagnetic radiation is thought to result from changes of positions among electron, which probably are c/w rotators, one might expect that most light, as emitted would have a c/w circular, actually spiral, polarization. I do not know what exactly is the theory of Lasers but it seems logical that crossing two spiral polarizations of light would give a true circular, actually spherical, "polarization." However, the overall rotation would still be there but the wave front now would be at the front of a sphere.....

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



[View this group in the new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Alternative Energy - BP](#)

See how BP's advanced technologies

are expanding energy production. www.bp.com/energymix

[How to Do Meditation?](#)

Did You Know That Meditating Just 15 Min a Day Could Change Your Life

www.SilvaLifeSystem.com

[EMC Partner Program](#)

Be a VNXe Unified Storage Reseller

& Shorten Sales Cycles. Free Paper.

www.emc.com/sellvnx

[See your message here...](#)

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Abridged summary of oscillatorsubstance- theory@googlegroups.com - 1 Message in 1 Topic

Options

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

hugh vreeland [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Apr 6 2010, 5:08 pm

This is not a direct reply to today's topic, but, I am too lazy to retype the address.

A new topic could be started on Graphing with Signed Numbers, i suppose.

A few days ago i tried something;

Eski always tries to keep things too simple, he works only with absolute values, although I'm sure he realizes that we could use signed numbers. If i remember rightly he did publish a little essay on signed numbers so he does realize they exist.

I got hold of a little graph paper and decided to graph a simple $xy=k=xy$ oscillator using both positive and negative values for every possibility. That is let k be either positive or negative and graph all the combinations.

To conform to KISS, i said let k be six. Ja, , I like the sound of the German word for six, so that could have something to do with it, but 3 and 2, as one limit and 3 and 2 the other side would be easy to graph, so also would 6 and 1 and 1 and 6. + an - sets of each, of course.

Drawing these out, i found that we had four equal area rectangles that balanced across the origin. Combining all of these produces a single area, which is doubled up closer to the origin

In looking at this it came to me that i was seeing something in the graph that somehow reminded me of something that i had read. Then it came to me, this looked exactly like a graphical representation of Hoek's four positive charges within a proton that blend into one.

It appears that any of Eski's oscillators could be graphically represented this way by a slice through them at any angle which ran through the center.

Looks like the graph says that Hoek's Proton Cosmology and Eski's Oscillators are the same thing from different viewpoints. Neither Eski nor Hoek ever graphed the situation using signed numbers.

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the
[new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Math Tutor Grades K-12](#)

We Develop a Customized Learning Plan Tailored to Your Child's Needs
[www.TutorSpot.com](#)

[Shop Rectangular Magnets](#)

Browse Electromagnets by Brand, Type & Size. Same Day Shipping!
[mscdirect.com/Electromagnets](#)

[RadiaBeam Magnetic Optics](#)

Quadrupoles, dipoles, sextupoles, steering magnets, and more!
[www.radiabeam.com](#)

[See your message here...](#)

Hoek had no indication that such a graph would have any bearing and Eski simply skipped the graphing idea for simplicity.

Well, my friends, there is my little contribution for the moment.

Did it help or did it just send you for more Tylenol 3?

Sincerely, " Hughvree"

On Tue, Apr 6, 2010 at 10:15 AM, <
oscillatorsubstance-
theory+noreply@googlegroups.com<oscillatorsubstance-theory%
2Bnoreply@googlegroups.com>

- [Show quoted text](#) -

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#) What you rated this post:

☆☆☆☆☆

hoek [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 6 2010, 4:33 pm

Hugh Vreeland's graphing of signed numbers is very interesting, and he's correct in assuming that," Hoek had no indication that such a graph would have any bearing".

My approach had nothing to do, consciously, with mathematics. I saw an animation of two alternating dynamic charge patterns, alleged by computer analysis, to exist on the surface of a proton. The physics film went on to say that theorists could explain what might cause two rings of charge, but, were at a loss to explain the four point charges changing into line-arcs configuration. I viewed the film two or three more times on successive broadcast dates, just to be sure that I had gotten it right. Unfortunately, VCR's and DVD recorders were not available back in 1978. These alternating dynamic charge patterns nagged at me. Soon after I performed a simple thought experiment. I envisioned how a charged particle in linear motion and its emitted field would behave within a spherical containment, based on my background in electronics. Then two particles and eventually arriving at four synchronously oscillating particles to perfectly describe the charge patterns that I was so very curious about. All the rest followed logically and serendipitously from that, how the geometry of the sphere and Einstein's energy-mass equivalency equation sustains the oscillations by converting field energy to mass and positive charge, then that mass, back to energy and negative charge at the center of the proton. What originally started out as a dynamic charge pattern puzzle, resulted in a theoretical nuclear physics hypothesis, that blossomed into a complete cosmology, as more and more was discovered in astrophysics over the passing years showing how things at the largest scale mirror things at the smallest, at least, in my hypothetical model. See: <http://www.helium.com/items/1304605-how-the-universe-formed>

Right after Hugh referenced me in his comments, he said," Eski simply skipped the graphing idea for simplicity." Therein lies the problem, Dr. Dean, mathematical simplicity, rather than, mechanistic simplicity. Quark theory suffers from the same miscalculation. It is the simplest mathematical solution of positive and negative fractions possible to explain the assumed axioms, I won't dispute that, but, it has no mechanism. We exist in a perceivable realm of three spatial dimensions and one forward moving dimension of time. These conditions result in cause and effect, which allows mechanism. The whole universe and everything in it are the result of, or operate in or by some mechanism or other or several.

Life forms are mechanisms or Automata. Automata are any self-reproducing or replicating objects. In a lecture at Caltech, titled "On the General and Logical Theory of Automata", pioneering physicist

John von Neumann outlined the four necessary components required.

- (1) A blueprint, a plan for construction
- (2) A factory, to carry out construction
- (3) A controller, to ensure that the factory follows the plan
- (4) A duplicating machine, to transmit a copy of the blueprints to the offspring

I have come to realize that the entire universe is alive and evolving from chaotic energy-mass, to atoms, to elements, to molecules, to life, to self awareness and cognizance. We are an evolutionary step in a universe that is alive and intelligently designed. The blueprint for our universe is base 10 encoded in pi. If you're interested, see: <http://www.helium.com/items/1273568-contemplating-the-existence-of-god>

Eski, I know I've asked you in past correspondence, if you could help me to translate my model into the proper mathematics, I believe Hamiltonian physics, by which to describe it. You responded that you weren't capable of that. I extend that same offer to you Hugh Vreeland, or anyone else who reads this and can do it. I assure you, you will be duly credited for your contribution. Well, that's about it for now except, Hi to my good friend ka-sala, may God always smile upon you.
hoek

On Apr 6, 6:08 pm, hugh vreeland <hughv...@gmail.com> wrote:

- Show quoted text -

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Oscillator/Substance Theory" group.

To post to this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com.

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit this group at

<http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory?hl=en>.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

An old item i don't think i shared It's about "Energy."

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

hugh vreeland [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Apr 6 2010, 5:25 pm

Must be round a year ago, that Eski published a bit on SciScoop and a man who went by Barak ridiculed him. This was my take..

The topic is somewhat peripheral to o/s theorizing, but, then, o/s is supposed to be inclusive.

Since i'm in a send stuff to the group mood today, here is this one, if you've seen it before, it won't hurt too much to see it again.

Answer to Barak

To say that Dr. S's Energy Expression, $(vm^2)/2$ is not a type of energy expression because the units do not seem to match, is probably much like saying, "That is not a leopard, I cannot see any spots." It may also fall into the category of saying, "A bonobo is a Chimpanzee. take a good look at one." The spots of melanistic leopards can be seen only if light happens to strike them at the right angles and there is a good deal of DNA evidence that either Bonobos (also known as the "Pygmy Chimpanzee of Zaire) should be classified as in Genus Homo, or humans should be classified in Genus Pan. In mathematics and physics, as well as in biology, appearances and labels may be deceiving.

Doc's contention is that both $(mv^2)/2$ and $(vm^2)/2$ are "energy expressions" since both arise from legitimate, alternate ways of integrating the momentum equation, $mv=p=vm$. He also notes in one paper, that one can also integrate "p" as an entirety to obtain the expression, $(p^2)/2$. which when we insert the original "mv=p=vm" fact, gives us $(m^2 \times v^2)/2$. Here in lies the key to the reason that the two energy expressions differ in form. In the process of integration, one of the variables was "held constant," that is taken out of real consideration except as a "scalar number" in the process. However, it is still a variable, a vector quantity which should be included in the result. The true descriptor for both energy expression should be the one found when "p" was integrated as a unit and the definition of "p" reinserted. In the cgs system the true units of what is going on when we talk about the motion energy of a unit would be $(g^2 \text{ cm}^2)/2$ or mass times the "conventional energy expression" or velocity times "Doc's expression." Looked at either way the concepts of mass, energy and velocity are inter-tangled.

As the energy, or motion content, of a moving body in a medium of which it is part--assuming here the the Oscillator/Substance Model is probably valid--will consist of two parts, the vibrational-rotational motions within the unit, and the motion disturbances caused by the motion of the entire body along a line, there may well be two "Energy Expressions" of the same form but actually arising from different views of momentum because of the two differing types of motions involved. If we assume this to be true, the total motion content i.e. energy would be $2(m^2 \times v^2)/2$, which equals $(m^2 \times v^2)$. Evaluating this at the Speed of Light, whether it be a limit or an average would then give the expression, $m^2 \times c^2$ for the Energy content of a particle rather than the mc^2 value usually accepted.

Taking this even farther from the fact that the Absolute Values of variables can be interchanged when they are related by an equation of the type, $xy=K=yx$, one can postulate that the maximum motion content possible of a particle moving at the Speed of Light would be c^4 or about $8.1 \times 10^{41} (g^2 \times \text{cm}^2)/2$.

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the new Google Groups

Sponsored links

[Biology Research Reagents](#)
2000+ Proteins, Antibody, Kits, cDNA, Save Time, Save Cost, Save Trouble
[www.SinoBiological.com](#)

[Pesticide-Free LiceMD®](#)
Eliminate Lice in Three Easy Steps. Use LiceMD® & Take Control!
[www.licemd.com](#)

[Can't Sell Your Timeshare](#)
Tired of Paying Upfront Fees? Learn The Truth About Selling Timeshares
[GiveBackTimeshare.com/Timeshare](#)

[See your message here...](#)

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Web more

deanlsinclair@gmail.com

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Fwd: Essentials of O

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Apr 6 2010, 7:17 pm

- [Hide quoted text](#) -

----- Forwarded message -----

From: dean sinclair <deanlsincl...@gmail.com>
 Date: Tue, Apr 6, 2010 at 11:48 AM
 Subject: Fwd: Essentials of O
 To: amylaverickd...@aol.com, Earth/matrix <earthmat...@gmail.com>, drsdcm <drs...@cinci.rr.com>

Here is a twenty three page write up that I did a few weeks ago on my home computer, finally got it from there to a form that I can put on the Internet. Yes, I don't really know my way around this technology as well as I should.

To get it to the Internet, I ended up copying it, inserting in an a letter and mailing it to myself! There must be an easier way! /:) Dean, "Doc.," ESKI

*(23 pg
shrank
to 15
on the
site)*

----- Forwarded message -----

From: dean sinclair <deanlsincl...@gmail.com>
 Date: Tue, Apr 6, 2010 at 11:26 AM
 Subject: Essentials of O
 To: deanlsincl...@gmail.com

A:/Essentials of O/S Theory.doc

Part I. Essential Ideas and Definitions

"O/S" Theory, short for "Oscillator/Substance Theory," operates on a relatively simple model of existence. There is postulated that all of existence is within a substrate of unspecified form and extent, which is organized into/organized by oscillators. These oscillators are postulated to be members of a "family" defined by the set equation $(m \times r + h/c)$. In this set equation, "m" is a measured mass at a radius, r, from a center/axis of rotation of an entity defined by the torque constant "h/c" wherein "h" is Planck's Constant and "c" is the speed of light.

→ $m \times r = \frac{h}{c}$

The logical steps from which these assumptions arise, and the early history of the O/S Model will be covered in a later chapter.

The following discussion covers some of the basic conclusions reached from consideration of the above ideas.

The substrate has essentially the characteristics of a chemical substance at its triple point with a constant tendency to equilibrate motion throughout. This equilibration of motion results in various phenomena, some of which are called "Forces," the Force of Gravity, Electro-magnetic Force, etc.

By the O/S Model, all of these "Forces" are the result of differential pressures within the substrate/substance. "Gravitational Force" analyzes as

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members


[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)

 [View this group in the new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Easier Email Marketing](#)
 Try Email Marketing - 30 Days Free!
 Get started quickly and easily.
www.Campaigner.com/first_month_fre

[Media Lists On-Demand](#)
 1.4 Million Records. Build Media Lists and More. Take a Demo!
www.vocus.com

[See your message here...](#)

being due to differential pressures between vortex conglomerates of different sizes, Electrical and magnetic fields result from the readjustments of the Substrate/Substance to disturbances caused by oriented vortices--usually electrons.

The last two paragraphs have slipped a bit ahead of fundamental considerations. We need to back track and define the types of motions observable within the substrate/substance and which of these motions are oscillators. We also need to define three classes of oscillators.

The types of motions within the Substrate of Existence can be classified in various ways. One is as dot or line centered motions, i.e., movements in and out or back and forth toward a dot or a line, or around a line, or all of these. These are coordinated into oscillatory motions. In the case of molecules, these are known as vibrational /rotational motion or "vib/rot" for short. A second type of motion is motion through the substrate/substance away from a line or point which does not move the point of origin, this kind of motion is generally known as "electromagnetic radiation."

Motion which carries a central point with it--usually this can be considered motion of an oscillator or combination of oscillators from its original place-- is known as translational motion. This type of motion is the motion usually being considered when "Energy" or "Kinetic Energy" is spoken of.

"Energy," as the term is usually used, can be considered to be a "packet of motions" having an effect which could presumably be measurable. This packet usually consists of some combination of translational motions.

Another way of classifying motion within the Substrate/Substance is to classify it as within a given surface and outside of that surface. This way of classifying motions gives us a definition for the useful term, "Mass." Mass may be considered as a measure of the balance of pressure-tension between the motions within a surface and the rest of the substrate outside the surface.

[This gives a somewhat different view than the usual cyclic definitions of Mass and Energy. "Energy is what moves mass and Mass is what is moved by Energy." This usual set of definitions may be considered to be equivalent to simply saying, "Existence is."]

There is often confusion between "Mass" as an attribute of an entity and talking about entity itself as a "Mass." This seems to be a possible problem with the famous Energy definition, $E=mc^2$, which is usually interpreted as meaning that if an entity were totally destroyed there would be an "Energy" release of an amount equal to the unit's "Mass times the Speed of Light squared. O/S modeling casts serious doubt on the accuracy of that interpretation. The subject will be explored more in another section of this paper. .

The oscillators within our substrate/substance may be classified into three categories which we shall call "Classes I, II and III" and abbreviate these class titles as C-I, C-II and C-III. C-I oscillators are considered as "full-wave pulsators" which oscillate through a sphere at $m = r = (h/c)^{0.5}$, which is a radius of about 4.7×10^{-19} cm., with a concurrent mass of about 4.7×10^{-19} grams. These oscillators are convertible to C-II oscillators. These are "pseudo-spheres" which have, at any given instant, both an equator and an axis of rotation. Any member of this group consists of two counter-rotating halves, which inter-convert through the equatorial circle. These halves can be split off, creating C-III Oscillators, half-wave inverting vortices.

The best known of these are the electron and proton which result from the split of the asymmetric C-II oscillator, the neutron. The neutron can be considered to be the result of a compression distortion of a C-I oscillator, which was dubbed by this writer the "Zerotron," which is--by O/S reasoning--also, the parent of the electron/anti-electron pair. This, previously unsuspected, "Zerotron" appears a "major player" in the Substrate/Substance as "parent" of both the electron/anti-electron set and the neutron.

The "Control Oscillator of Our Universe," whose inversion—or split-- is

the "Big Bang," would be a C-II Oscillator. Whether we are a part of one side of a continuing C-II Oscillator, or a part of a unit analogous to an electron or anti-electron apparently is yet to be determined.

Considering a little basic math indicates another possible unit. This argument goes as follows: The number, "One," can be considered to represent any whole unit. If we write the Planck Equation relating a package of motion, "Energy," to a frequency, i.e. $E = h \times u$, where "u" is a frequency, we can consider that Planck's Constant, "h," is the ratio between a fundamental Motion Packet, "E," which we may represent as a "Whole" by using the number, "1." If we do this and write, $1 = h \times u$, we see that "u," has a value of $1/h$. As the formula for the wave length of electromagnetic radiation is "Wavelength equals 'c,' the Speed of Light, divided by 'u' the frequency," the wave length of this packet would be, $c \times h$, "ch." As the wave length can be considered to equal the circumference of a circle, the radius of the corresponding circle would be $ch/2\pi$ and the corresponding mass, as measured at one extreme, would be $2\pi i/c^2$. (This is from going back to " $m \times r = h/c$ " and inserting the above value for "r.") This little unit, if one grinds out the math, would appear, if we were to find it, to be smaller and more "massive" than the proton. This writer has not checked to see if any "fundamental particle" discovered in atom-smashing experiments has the characteristics quoted above.

The speculation above has another twist. It is possible to consider that any equation of the form, " $xy = K = yx$," to be the equation for a "balance." By this reasoning, any constant would be the "balance point" of the units that make up its definition. Taking "c," the Speed of Light, as the balance for light as an oscillator, one can consider that any light wave will have an "equal partner" wherein the absolute values of the wavelength and the frequency are reversed. In the case noted above, where the frequency is " $1/h$ " and the wavelength is "ch," the "partner set" would have the frequency of "ch" and a wavelength of " $1/h$." This very low frequency and very long wavelength would represent the low frequency cut off for information in "Our Universe." Another way of looking at this is to consider that these values may represent limits of the "Control Oscillator(s)" within which we exist.

The "Zerotron" has been mentioned previously as the Parent C-I Oscillator from which both the positron/negatron set and the neutron are considered to be derived; however, the evidence from which this conclusion has been reached has not been presented.

The evidence for the "Zerotron." Is, as follows: The electron and proton are known to "annihilate" upon meeting to furnish an amount of "Energy," as "annular radiation," at a frequency equivalent to " mc^2 " of the mass of the electron. This is the "Energy" dissipation expected from the "head-on" collision of two particles with the Kinetic Energy of the two converted into electromagnetic radiation.

This "annihilation," however, does not happen on the instant of entering into the vicinity of one another, however, but after a period of the two units entering into what could be called a "circling dance, or "forming a mass-less, Hydrogen atom." The point is that the annihilation occurs when the two entities reach a certain specific orientation to one another. This can be presumed to be when the inversion/pulsation and the rotations of both units are aligned on the same vector, in exactly opposite senses such that the two rotations will cancel. Canceling of the two rotations, each moving at an average velocity of "c," would furnish an observed value of " mc^2 "

as radiation in one annular pulse at aright angles to the line of "collision." As there remains the pulsation motions, what would logically result would be a C-I Pulsating Oscillator. This oscillator, were it struck by radiation having more motion content, "Energy," than that given off in the "annihilation," could split into the original pair of electron and proton. The "pair-production" process is also known. The scientific literature does not note annihilation and pair-production as reciprocal processes, however.

As this oscillator is the "intermediate from which the negatron and positron would go in opposite directions," i.e. the zero-point for the formation these two units, it seems logical to call it the "Zerotron."

The logic for considering that a neutron probably results for a shock wave compression of a Zerotron, is some what different and based more on logic

than on any known experimental evidence. It was first considered that a proton could be considered as being derived from an anti-electron by slowing an anti-electron to about 1/42 of its velocity in a given direction with all of the velocity converted to "mass." There seemed, however, to be no way this could be accomplished with a free anti-electron. Since the electron and anti-electron arise from the Zerotron, and the electron and the proton arise from the neutron, it was logical to consider that the neutron be a modified Zerotron. "How could this happen in such a way as to cause the Zerotron to have one half of the oscillator to have its vibrational energy compressed so as to appear as

'mass' at a later time?" became the question. If the oscillator were "squashed" by a shock wave such that "one half of the oscillator met the other half coming back," this type of result can be envisioned. Such a "squashing" effect could be expected from the shock wave of the inversion or splitting of an oscillator such as can be considered to encompass our Universe. The initial motion would be at a velocity of at least twice the speed of light, this motion into a medium having an average motion velocity of the speed of light would create a shock wave presumably capable of distorting many structures in its path. If the neutron is the most stable of the resulting units, the one to which the others would equilibrate, then the neutron could well result from a shock wave in a medium containing a large numbers of Zerotron entities.

The concept of the "Zerotron" as a basic unit has the effect of inter-relating a number of ideas which otherwise seem rather unrelated. The electron, anti-electron, proton and neutron are seen as different aspects of one entity under different transformation conditions. The phenomena of annihilation and pair-production are seen as reciprocal processes and the "Big Bang" falls into place as a "creative-destructive," recurring natural event.

Since all of the things which we know as matter, result from the interaction of the two units, electron and proton, which can combine in many ways but do not recombine to form neutrons, it seems quite logical to consider that all the events of our Universe can be considered as the result of the Substrate/Substance readjusting motion toward a balancing of motion throughout, sorting out and minimizing the chaos resulting from oscillator inversions such as the one which apparently started our universe. We may consider everything from our own existence to the most farther galaxy as being a result of creativity out of chaos which is tending toward an "equilibrated chaos." This will be explored more in the following sections of this manuscript.

Closing this section, let us list some of the definitions which arise which are not common to the usual scientific literature.

Mass: An attribute of an entity which is a measure of the internal vibrational-rotational motions within that entity as evaluated at the surface. A measure of the tension/pressure at the surface of an entity as against the rest of "Existence." This is measurable, at minimum values, as a relative value by comparisons of entities.

Energy: A generalized term for a quantity of motion.

Kinetic Energy: The motion packet that can be measured, which is the result of motion of an entire object in a given direction,

Potential Energy: A measure of the Kinetic Energy which could arise if the space between two units were removed.... In an situation of oscillation, there will be an interchange of Kinetic Energy and Potential Energy, with each being "Zero" when the other is at maximum.

Electron, also known as Negatron: A counter-clockwise-rotating, inverting vortex, Its rotation-inversion gives rise to what is known as a "negative charge."

Anti-electron, also known as Positron; The clockwise-rotating inverse of the electron, hence "positively charged."

Zerotron: A postulated entity having the same "rest mass" as either of the Electron or Anti-Electron units.

The parent C-I Oscillator which these combine into.

An entity which can be split by additional motion of sufficient amount into an electron and anti-electron and distorted by shock waves to neutrons.

Neutron: An asymmetric, C-II Oscillator, the result of the deformation of the C-I Zerotron. This unit, which would oscillate between "Matter" and "Anti-matter" forms, splits in "Our Universe" into an electron and a proton. In an "Anti-universe" it would split into an Anti-electron and Anti-proton. Its anti-particle would be identical to it.

Proton: A clockwise-rotating, inverting oscillator, formed from the asymmetric splitting of the neutron. With the same rotation/inversion sense as the anti-electron it is "positively charged." Its "rest mass" is some 1832 times that of the electron hence it has some 1832 times as often an inversion through its "central circle," at 4.7×10^{-19} cm., as the electron has through its center of the same size. The proton motion is at a correspondingly smaller distance.

Big Bang: Title given to the Inversion--or spitting--instant of the C-II Oscillator, which is the "Control Oscillator of Our Universe." This event presumably created neutrons. The decay of these neutrons produced electrons and protons resulting in the "Matter" found throughout "Our Universe." This does not rule out other somewhat similar incidents continuing to create neutrons through similar shock-wave events.

Part II. The Iso-set Approach to Matter

Most of the things we deal with in our universe are made up of what we call, "Matter," which consists of various associations of electrons and protons. These associations are known as atoms, molecules, organisms, and these are organized more and more, into many different units, stars, solar systems, galaxies,,,,,

At the very most basic level, an idea called the "iso-set" can be useful. The idea is that any group of associations of electrons and protons can be considered as a part of a set of "isomers," things made up in different ways of the same units. The first set of electrons and protons which is likely to come to mind is the set made up of one electron and one proton. We call this the "Iso-1,1-set" and consider it to contain the following subsets: The set,

{e, p}, the neutron, n, and the Hydrogen atom, H-1. we can write this then as the set, {e, p; n; H-1}. We must also remember that any set will contain the "Null Set" wherein the components, "e," and "p" do not exist.

This "Null set," usually considered to be empty, may occur in any amount. What we are saying is that the "Null set" may contain Zerotrons, an entity formed from the union of a proton and an anti-proton., and other unrecognized Class I Oscillators. Usually this "Null set" can be ignored; however, it may come into play in some "nuclear" transformations and it is well to be cognizant of its existence.

In any set, one may postulate that there will be some lowest motion content, most stable unit, and some set of most motion content which is still an association of units. This highest motion content set, this writer calls the "Central Aggregate" of the set, abbreviated, "Iso-A." For the 1,1-set, the "Iso-A." may be the neutron, which can be said to be made up of a tightly coordinated electron-proton pair with a good deal of excess motion, "Energy." content.

Another possible unit of the 1,1-set could be called a "pseudo-neutron," a unit made up of a rather transitory--possibly only instantaneous--coordination of an electron and proton along the same vector. This last postulated unit, may be what is the cause of the "proton-neutron nucleus" which has been so useful for the last 70 some years.

Although it has been very useful in correlating data and is the basis of the Periodic Chart of the Elements, the "Proton-Neutron Nucleus Model," in the belief that neutrons exist, as such, in "nuclei." is probably in error for any atomic species having a "half-life" greater than that of a neutron in space. As the neutron's half-life is known to be about two seconds, the neutron-proton model is expected to be in error for all "naturally occurring" isotopes and for any isotopes which do not persist in nature but have half-lives greater than a few fractions of a second.

Considering electrons and protons to be spinning vortexes, albeit on a different scale, it seems logical that both will show some of the same associative characteristics. Electrons are known to have associations that can be considered as sets of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 14 which are quite stable. There is no reason to think that protons can not associate as well, forming similar stable sets. As such stable sets of protons would be on a much smaller scale than similarly constituted sets of electrons, they would form a "nuclear" cluster.

Probably the next set that comes easily to mind would be the Iso-2,2-set. Which contains, along with appropriate combinations of all the previous sets and the Null Set, the important set of isomers, the Deuterium Molecule and the He4 atom. These have been the subject of much interest, speculation and controversy since 1989.

In 1989, Fleischmann and Pons announced the discovery of "Cold Fusion," in the finding of excess heat being generated in electrolysis of "heavy water." This they attributed to the fusion of Deuterium. As it was a "Known" that "Fusion processes can only take place in plasmas at temperatures such as are found in the Sun," the scientific establishment immediately denounced this as nonsense and Fleischmann and Pons effectively disappeared into scientific banishment. Many experimenters since have shown the effect to be real and have found the generation of He4 to coordinate to excess heat production.

The above-discussed effect has been most often observed in Palladium cathodes and there have been many discussions as to what may be happening. Simultaneously, in other laboratories there have been observed transmutation effect which appear to be the result of the incorporation of Deuteron units, (units of the

"1,2 -set") into various nuclei. These results suggest that the "intra-set transformation" from D:D to He4, may not be direct but by way of Deuteron to Deuteron association with subsequent transformations.

The stability of the D+ unit, the Deuteron, has mystified the scientific establishment for some time. In terms of the O/S model

-- which is not burdened with having to consider the Deuteron as a combination of a proton and a neutron--the stability of D+ is easy to understand, as also is it's apparent ability to associate with other "D+es." In O/S terms, the Deuteron would consist of an associated "up-down" pair of protons encased within an electron.

Association with other Deuterons would be a combination of the

" up-down" pair coordination with a similar pair to form a "four-some" and a simultaneous coordination of the "electron encasements" to form an "electron pair." The combination of two Deuterons would produce a "high-energy" form of the Deuterium Di-cation, D:D++, which, in turn, could be considered a very-high-vibrational-rotational-energy form of an Alpha Particle.

[The Alpha particle--by O/S reasoning a clock-wise -spinning, "square-planar" assemblage of four protons and two electrons--is generally considered to be the "nucleus" of a Helium 4 atom as it contains 6/8 of the constituents of He-4.

It may be somewhat "hair-splitting" to aver that the Alpha particle is not a He-4 nucleus; however, we shall do just that. By the O/S model, the He-4 central unit would be a tetrahedral assembly of 4 protons, and the Alpha a square-planar unit of four protons and two electrons. In conventional thinking both are units composed of two protons and two neutrons with no

concern about configuration nor any consideration of the different motion characteristics for the two entities.

Conventional thinking does not correlate rotation (spinning) to "charge" hence takes no note of the idea of charged units spinning or rotating in a certain direction. For instance, no one ever has noted that the counter clock-wise, "left-handed" twist characteristic of so many natural products could be the result of anionic ("negatively charged") intermediates involved in their formation.]]

In contact with a D:D molecule, an Alpha particle could "strip off" an electron pair, imparting its spin-twist to the remainder of the D:D unit to form another Alpha particle of greater "Energy." This chain-reaction process could continue thorough a layer of Deuterium molecules on the surface of a Palladium cathode until some Alpha developed enough Kinetic Energy to escape the environment. Alpha particles have been observed as a minor byproduct in the reactions that produce He4 as a major product.

What is described above is a possible scenario for the formation of He-4 from Deuterons. The first step, the formation of Deuterons from Deuterated Water would be endothermic, with the energy being supplied through the electrolysis. Subsequent processes including the association of Deuterons, and "spin-downs" with loss of vibrational energy to the medium would be exothermic and the last "Lewis-Acid-Base" reaction removing an electron pair from a Deuterium molecule could be expected also to be exothermic considering the probable lesser motion content of the very symmetric He-4 as compared to an Alpha particle and a D:D molecule.

The overall effect is $D:D \rightarrow He-4$, and the calculable "Energy" change would be—in terms of conventional thermodynamic reasoning—"the Energy equivalent of the 'mass-defect' between the Deuterium Molecule, D:D, and its 'Atomic Isomer,' the He-4 atom."

The Iso-3,3-set contains the isomer pair, Tritium, "H-3" and the isomeric He-3 to which it "decays" with the loss of a "Beta particle"—an electron spun out at a rather high velocity. Here, as in the Deuterium Molecule to He-4 example, an apparently simple "Iso-set-isomerization" very likely goes through an intermediate cation of a smaller set.

H-3, Tritium, has no magnetic moment, that is, it has no "charge separation." On a "time-average" it is totally electrically symmetric. On the other hand, the more stable He-3 does have a magnetic moment, which is in the same direction as that of the neutron. Both it and the neutron are unbalanced in the same direction, apparently with a clockwise spin. At first thought, it would seem that the Tritium should be the more stable isomer, containing at any given time two electrons more tightly bonded to the protons in the nucleus and one electron much less bonded, as against the He-3 which has an unbalance "charge" structure with but one electron more closely associated with the nucleus at any given time.

A little closer inspection from the O/S viewpoint, shows a somewhat different picture. The central unit of an H-3 atom would be a "vibrating tetrahedron" with the three protons exchanging places among three corners of the tetrahedron with the electrons in a complicated dance which would be somewhat comparable. In the He-3, a coordinated motion of three protons in a trigonal ring encompassed within one electron would involve far less total motion content than is present in the Tritium situation.

The loss of one electron leaves the remaining two electrons in the Tritium cation unpaired. This cation can drop into the more stable He-3 configuration.

[It may be considered that the "drop from the lowest energy state of the H-3 to the highest energy state of the He-3, taking place when the states happened to coincide in configuration, furnished the 'impulse energy' to cause the unpairing of the electrons and the expulsion of one as a Beta particle." This latter comment is very close to standard reasoning, which, however would have to involve a "neutron to proton conversion" discussion.

By conventional models, the H-3 has a nucleus of two neutrons and one proton, while the He3 has a nucleus of one neutron and two protons. By O/S, both have a central aggregation of three protons, in different

configurations.]

The Iso-set approach can become very complicated very rapidly, but it is useful in comparing two or more units of the same set while keeping in mind the ideas of the possible involvement of the "Null" set and of Iso-A structures which do not fit the standard patterns of atoms or molecules but may be intermediates. This seems to not only add some flexibility to thinking about possible reaction routes, but also some guides to where "flexing" might take place.

An interesting set to follow by O/S reasoning would be the "self-destruction in search of symmetry" of the unstable Iso-8,8-set whose final unit is Beryllium-8.

Be-8 is so symmetrical that it twists apart into an Alpha particle which spins off in one direction and another square planar unit which might be expected to exit in the opposite direction. However, this second square planar unit is contained within an electron pair. It "goes nowhere" as its paired electrons counter-acting the spin of the four protons and counter-balancing one another cause it to "stay in place." Eventually, both the Alpha particle and the Di-anion become Helium-4 units.

One would expect Be-8 to split directly to He-4: however, the symmetry difference between He-4 as a tetrahedron and Be-8, whose central core could be expected to be two square planar arrays twisted 45 degrees to one another, prevents this kind of transformation. The spin apart of the two square planar arrays needs only a "slight nudge" to any point.

This type of discussion needs ultimately to continue throughout the Periodic Chart of the Elements, sorting out and developing understanding of the patterns that cause the chart to work so well for many purposes. Finding the reasons for Elements, without the need to invoke the "neutrons in the nucleus" model, will be an interesting challenge

. This problem will most probably be solved by someone having advanced computer skills. The human genome was decoded. Why couldn't the Periodic Chart be decoded also?

Here are some factors that might be considered in trying to develop a computer program to solve the mysteries of the Periodic Chart.

If we abandon the idea of neutrons in the nuclei, except perhaps for some nuclei with such short half-lives that the neutron has a comparatively infinite life, then we must find a rationale for their "phantom presence." The concept of pseudo-neutrons consisting of momentary co-ordinations between electrons and protons and/or groups of electrons with groups of the same number of protons might solve this problem.

Another factor is the mobility or lack of mobility of the two "nucleons." The electron appears to have great mobility and there seems no reason to think that, at any given time, any electron could not be in any part of the "dance of the electrons." That is, it can probably be considered that all electrons in a given unit are equivalent although at an instant of motion disturbance the electrons could be considered to momentarily "freeze frame" at the instant before and after readjustment.

Although, most "Energy absorptions" and emissions are considered as motions of one electron from one "orbit" to another, it is more likely that the rearrangement is total in the electron dance, and, sometimes this may also interact with the continuing "proton dance."

The proton dance, because of the far different frequency of oscillation is confined to a smaller space and apparently causes the protons to have much less freedom of movement, as evidenced by the number of transformations that occur in the "shake apart" of the Iso-8,8-set. This also appears in the fact of "internal conversion" within some of the heavier elements.

One may guess that geometric structure is apparently far more important in the closely packed interior of an atom than it is in the outer part of an atom occupied by the electrons. We have already discussed the probable geometric importance of certain simple arrangements in the cases of the Alpha particle and the Helium-4 atom and in the Tritium to Helium-3

transform. As the number of protons making up the central "matrix" of an atom increases, the role of geometry probably becomes more and more important.

It is easy to visualize some of the interactions between two protons, three protons, four protons, and five protons. Up to this stage, coordinated actions are relatively easy to visualize. Thereafter, the situation becomes more difficult.

The "orbit-shape structures" developed for electrons may well be of some help, but should not be considered as definitive. They do not, for instance, note the possibility of a coordinated, continuing motion through the points of a three dimensional star, which is one possibility for five spinning objects.

For the more complex atoms, it may well be that "Buckyball" structures, even "Buckyballs" within "Buckyballs" will turn out to be probable structures for the central unit of atoms.

People who believe in the proton-neutron model for the central unit, "nucleus," of an atom have worked out shell sets and preferred shell sets for the supposed neutrons. These models might be of help in understanding the electron to proton coordination that makes these "neutron shells" appear valid.

Part III. The Out and Beyond

If "All" be part of a Substance/Substrate, then science should be a continuum including everything from nano-chemistry to cosmology. The happenings within constellations, within stars, neutron stars, Quasars and all the other species that make up the units of existence beyond our Earth, would be subject to the same ideas of oscillators and oscillations that we have discussed in the few previous examples. Cosmology would be the study of these interactions on a very macro scale in one respect and with a very close attention at the very tiniest level in another set of concerns.

To understand "Black Holes" probably requires a close examination of the "Hidden Half of Existence" below 4.7×10^{-19} cm. in radius. This half has been implied in the rest of this paper but not emphasized. This is the half of miniscule radii and huge "Mass" values as compared to our "outer world" of the reversed absolute values, a world wherein the electron has far more mass than the proton.... This is a world wherein "negative becomes positive, large becomes small and light becomes heavy." This "World" has not been ever considered in science other than in comments about the disappearance of matter into the singularities of Black Holes.

To understand Galaxies may require an understanding of Class II Oscillators. It may well be that the Black Hole in the center of a Galaxy is the inversion site of the Class II oscillator which controls that Galaxy. Galaxy shapes may indicate the "Stage of Life" of the Galaxy. It seems that while the "Universe" as a whole is expanding, Galaxies may be contracting, i.e., in a contraction phase of their "control oscillators." There are recent reports that "Quasars" may cause galaxies to develop about them.

Another thought is that Quasars and Neutron Stars, might be analyzable in terms of being not only controlled by a central oscillator, but containing what might be called a melting-pot of Iso-A units of all kinds from the simplest units to the most complex. The "neutron stars" might actually be creating neutrons from Zerotrons and heavy elements from the mixture of Iso-A forms therein. It has been reported recently that ions of heavy elements have been detected streaming out from quasars.

Examination of Cosmology from the O/S viewpoint should lead to very interesting results. The role of Black Holes in the balancing and Evolution of the Substrate/Substance will be interesting to discover.

It may be noted that if a half oscillator such as the electron or proton were to pass through the inversion center of a Class II Oscillator, its sense would be reversed, that is, an electron would become an anti-electron, this could have interesting consequences in that out of phase contacts would cause reunions to the Zerotron.

Considering the situation with the proton in the above scenario leads to an even more interesting idea. The proton-anti-proton union would produce what might be called a "Super-Zerotron." If this speculation be correct, and the idea that Zerotrions are distorted to neutrons also be correct, then there is a conclusion that the Substance-Substrate is in a continued process of evolution toward "tighter and tighter" forms of Class I Oscillator, with the Zerotron being possibly the predominant form at the present stage of Evolution of the Substrate. If the model be correct, the ultimate form would most likely be the central average sphere of radius, $(h/c)^{0.5}$.

Interesting aspects of all of this appear where this model begins to interact with both philosophy and science-fiction. Many questions arise. Is Our Universe a one of a kind, except for the "co-created" "Antiverse," or is it that there are many sets so constituted that these units can exist "concurrently" slightly "out of phase" with one another. What about the situation of anti-matter?

[A short article by this same writer, dealing with the problem of anti-matter has been published on the Internet in Helium.com. It will be included in an appendix along with several other special topic units which have been published on Helium.com, SciScoop.com and /or the Google Group Site, Oscillator/Substance Theory.]

There is indication that there may be ways to take advantage of the continued flux of existence, the "Ground State Energy" which is perhaps represented by the temperature of about 2.7 degrees Kelvin which is characteristic of outer space.

This temperature represents the average Kinetic Energy of the moving units in space. It may be that we are already taking advantage this in the phenomenon of "Super Conductivity" of certain materials when they are cooled to temperatures approaching "Absolute Zero."

The super-fluidity of "Helium II" may also be related to this "tiny bit of heat" which by O/S reasoning would underlie everything but only show up as an effect when there is a deliberate attempt to reach a "lower temperature than that of the Substrate of Existence." In other words, what ever makes up the basis of the Substrate/Substance appears to have a constant motion which is measured, at least in our vicinity as about 2.7 degrees Kelvin.

As by Carnot Cycle reasoning, a heat engine operating between Zero Kelvin and 2.7 Kelvin could operate with 100 % efficiency, it would appear to be feasible to utilize the "temperature of outer space" for human purposes.

Part IV. O/S, Space-Time, Quantum Mechanics and The Standard Model of Particle Physics

There are quite a number of "Theories of Everything which predate the O/S model. In particular there are three models which have been quite widely accepted in scientific circles and touted as being essentially Theories of Everything. These three are Space-Time Relativity, Quantum Mechanics and The Standard Model of Particle Physics. None of these is truly comprehensive. Each operates primarily in its own niche, and the languages and concepts do not mesh well although some scientists seem to think all are correct at the same time and end up doing discussions which seem abstrusely intellectual but which on closer analysis are found to be "concoctions of word salad," i.e., nonsensical verbiage.

{It is quite possible that some title such as 'The Quantum Electrodynamics of the Relativistic Strange Quark' would be taken seriously as the subject for a presentation at some scientific meeting. Jazzed up with enough incomprehensible reference to differential equations with a few quantum mechanical operators thrown in, some joker might well get complemented on his or her new advance, which no would understand because it would be a compilation of disparate parts, utter balderdash.]

There will be an attempt to see how these three approaches might fit in with the quite comprehensive O/S approach. Looking first at Space-Time we find that, surprisingly enough, much of the mathematics may fit in fairly well for rather accidental reasons.

Mathematical space does not actually have a concept of a void. Mathematically, space is filled with dots. Now, looking at "Time." In practicality, time is always referenced to some cycle. Putting the two together, Space-Time by its title implies that the mathematics deals with motions of dots having a cyclic motion.

As to the relativism, the relativistic corrections apply to transmission of information between transmitter and receiver sets that are moving with respect to one another. There may be validity in cases of relative speeds that approach the speed of information transfer. The equations become nonsense when applied to non-communicative relative motion.

Quantum Mechanics is a mathematical model which was designed to correlate the information from the line spectra of Hydrogen to Energy. It deals only with positive numbers. Operating in three dimensions with both Kinetic Energy and Potential Energy terms it does not address oscillatory motion, nor does it differentiate "vib-rot" motion from translatory motion. What it appears to deal with is translatory motion of electrons in atoms from a statistical view- point of a vibratory wave motion. Based on one electron and one proton, the Hydrogen atom, it is stretched to apply it to more complex units. There is no notice whatever of the fact of the two possible energy equations which arise from integrating the formula for momentum, $p = mv$ both ways, i.e, with mass as a constant and velocity as a variable to get the standard KE equation, $E = (mv^2)/2$, and integration with "v" constant to obtain the alternate expression, call it "E'", $E' = (vm^2)/2$, and there is certainly no indication of the overall energy expression found by simply integrating, "p," to obtain $(p^2)/2$ which equals $(m^2v^2)/2$. It is possible that the Quantum Mechanical mathematical approach could be developed to apply more generally if the various energy expressions were taken into consideration and some mechanism developed—possibly by the use of both forms of signed numbers—to account for the "Second World below a radius of 4.7×10^{-19} cm.

Neither of the two models discussed above give any attention to the size, shape, interior motions or actual exterior motions of electrons and protons. The two models discussed thus far are primarily mathematical in form and do not really address entities as such. Both appear to have some possibility of integration with O/S.

The third model, the Standard Model of Particle Physics, received a Nobel Prize in Physics in the 1970's and is called by some, "The Crowning Achievement of Particle Physics." In my opinion, if this was "The Crowning Achievement of Particle Physics," then the "Geocentric Model of the Solar System" was certainly the crowning achievement of astronomy. Both models start with sets of misconceptions and add assumption upon assumption to obtain a model that seems to work.

The Standard Model has a number of starting assumptions one is that there are the "Four Forces of Nature," which are "Gravitation," "Electromagnetism," the "Strong Nuclear Force," and the "Weak Nuclear Force." It then is assumed that Gravitation has no effect at the nuclear level and can be ignored. Another assumption is that the various units which arise from atom-smashing are fundamental units which are somehow released by the experiment.

All of these assumptions are open to criticism. The Four Forces of Nature, on analysis, can be seen to be two observational phenomena which can be explained by differential pressures in the Substrate/Substance. The two "nuclear forces" are necessitated by the assumption of neutrons being constituents of nuclei. All four "Forces," are either fictional or imaginary. Additionally, the actual force that is responsible for Gravitation would be very much present at the atomic level.

The units which can be identified from atom-smashes are probably better characterized as alternate states of matter which are created under the conditions rather than being always there.

To complicate things even more, some electron scattering data is interpreted to show that some things called "Quarks," can carry either 1/3 or 2/3 of a charge. (As the electrons and protons as oscillators have two limits and a central inversion point, i.e., three definable points of possible scatter in a ration of 2/1. the phenomena that are identified as "Quarks" may be valid

observations but are certainly not evidence of existence of basic units.) To explain other things more and more basic units are invoked, gluons to hold things together, gravitons to explain gravity and so on and on....

What needs to be done with this model is to find where, rationally, the actual products of atom smashing would fit in to a pattern of matter and/or anti-matter. One such unit, the "Beta sub 2" has been shown to invert between Matter and Anti-matter forms. This is a characteristic shared, by O/S thinking, by all C-II Oscillators. In this writer's opinion the Standard Model should ultimately go into the dust bin of failed nonsense.

A very unfortunate result of the blind belief of many scientists in the Standard Model has led to one of the great scientific experiments of all time, the Hadron Collider under the border of France and Switzerland. This Collider, designed to smash streams of protons into streams of protons is designed on Standard Model ideas and is hoped to find evidence of something called the "Higgs Boson."

When initially put into operation the Collider immediately broke down. O/S considerations indicate that that is the probable continuing fate of the Collider. Break down, start up, break down again. The problem probably is that the designers, having no idea of existence of a Substance/Substrate nor of the fact that protons can coordinate with protons in many ways, do not realize that a stream of protons can generate an electrical field in the opposite sense from the fields developed by moving electrons.. This means that the force requirements to move protons in the ways that they "expected to do so may well be several orders of magnitude larger than expected. In addition, the clockwise rotation of the proton will make the requirements for sending protons clockwise different from sending them counter clockwise so running streams in opposite directions appears to be a very difficult task, to say the least. Also, on meeting the protons may well coordinate rather than making hard collisions.

The Hadron may well go into history as one of the most expensive failed scientific adventures ever attempted, because it was based on a combination of no information and misconceptions.

There is much that needs to be done with the O/S model which is still in its infancy as the writer is the only "expert" in the field. There need to be many others who can see and extend, amplify and, possibly refute the ideas that arise. At this point, however, O/S seems to be the closest thing to a comprehensive theory which is available.

Part V. History of the Model

Some people may be interested in how this model came to be developed. In its first form, which has been much modified since, the basic ideas were published in April 2007 in an article on the Internet site, Helium.com, entitled, "Motion in a Matrix as a Theory of Everything."

However the genesis of the basic ideas began, for this person, in the Spring of 2004, the year before "The Year of Einstein," when in thinking about the idea of "Relativity," the thought came to mind that perhaps Einstein's Genius could have been the ability to see the "Overlooked Obvious." After all, the idea that information is relative to the observer is rather obvious; but, before Einstein, no one had "made a big deal out of that." If, per chance, this kind of ability were "genius," one could pretend to be a genius by deliberately looking at seemingly obvious ideas and trying to find different ideas, views, slants on them.

Later research showed that this apparently was not a facet of Einstein's Genius. However, the "Pretend Genius" idea turned out to be quite good.

One of the first things that was looked at was Einstein's "Special Relativity" with the thought, "Is there something here that is so obvious that the significance may be overlooked." The first thought was, "Well, it deals with a mathematical formulation." "Mathematical formulations can be generalized. What happens if we generalize the formulas containing the 'v squared over c squared expressions?" What then would be the meaning of the constant, 'c?" The answer was that the constant would be the maximum velocity of information transfer, and the equations would apply to any situation of information transfer. One could define "Perceptual Universes"

by the maximum velocity of information transfer in the volume under consideration. This writer had no idea that this would eventually lead to the current Oscillator Substance Model for Existence.

Following up on the idea of Perceptual Universes, however, led to the thought, "Just what would be the meaning of 'maximum velocity of information transfer?';" "How is information transferred? How does it go out in all directions from a center? Considering these questions it was realized that, whether by electromagnetic radiation or Pony Express, the maximum velocity of information transfer, in any direction over any significant distance, would be the average speed of the carriers in that direction.

Applying this to the Speed of Light it was realized that, logically, the Speed of Light should be the average velocity in all directions from any given point of the carriers of the information, whatever they were. The Speed of Light then is a Constant of nature but not a Limit to Velocity in an absolute sense; but, instead, it is an average of the velocity of something.

This view of the Speed of Light led to the idea that light has to be carried by something in a Matrix.

The next thought was a bit of an aside, "What is there else about reality that we take for granted. We take Mass and Energy as interchangeable. If they are interchangeable, they must be, somehow, aspects of the same thing. What else is there that is always present in existence? Motion. Can motion have two aspects? Sure. Motion about a point and motion along a line. What then are the aspects of our Universe? Motion in a Matrix. What else can we find out about our matrix and motions in it and the Speed of Light as information carrier? Where can we look? How about Planck's relationship? I just noticed that it is said to have the dimensions of angular momentum. That fits, a rotating unit could carry information in a straight line at the speed of rotation at its surface. What happens if we equate Planck's Constant to its definition as an angular momentum and plug in "c" for the velocity? This was done and the little equation,

$m \times r = h/c$, made its appearance. It was realized that this could be the equation for a family of oscillators. The question-answer-new-question-new-answer dialog such as .was reprised above has led to the current state of the Model...

A simple thought led to some intellectual exercise which led quite accidentally to the construction of a sort of "Theory of Everything." This Model is still evolving as more and more information falls into place, more questions arise, more answers are found.

It was later realized that Max Planck had actually gone over some of the same ground a hundred years before and had used an oscillator-particle model in developing his equation. It was also realized that someone could easily have reached the same conclusions by much simpler logic by considering the implications of the Michelson-Morley Experiment beyond the popularized version of considering that it had "disproven the "Aether Theory."

This whole Model could have been developed over a Century ago had scientists followed up on the ideas of Max Planck rather than becoming enamored with the ideas of Albert Einstein. Had that happened there is a strong chance the there would have been avoided much of the confusion which has been alluded to in other parts of this paper.

Part VI Appendix. The Fun Stuff. Short , Special Topic Articles From the Internet

In this section are being compiled some of the previous writings that pertain to this topic. Some are of "historical interest " and show a somewhat more naïve view of the area. Some go into short topics in more depth than was done in the body of the paper. It is hoped that the reader will find these interesting and enjoyable.

Append: Motion in a Matrix, Vreeland's answer; "letter to Sophie," the whole Sci-Scoop File, the Matter-Antimatter article,

Constancy of Constants, Worlds within world or what ever I called

it.....Equivalency of Electrons, Worlds Within Worlds, and anything else that looks like it might be pertinent, Perhaps the articles on Signed numbers and Iso-As the Key to Radioactivity.....
 "SON"

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance

Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

A Caveat, I may just be another deluded crackpot. Here is one person's opinion.

Options

3 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Apr 10 2010, 2:27 pm

The following is an excerpt of an exchange between myself and a theoretical physicist/mathematician who has, to say the least, a low opinion of this whole thing.

> I gather that you consider my little theoretical attempts-which
> simply developed from following a sequence of logic--as being
> foolishness as I haven't sufficient intelligence or education to

be > fooling around with such things? A kid playing with grown-ups
> toys > that he doesn't understand?

> You may well be right, but, let the old man have his dreams of
> accomplishing something. Won't be too long that he will no longer

be > around to dream....DS

Dream all you like, but what you're doing is both logically & mathematically and physically are provable nonsense. What on Earth do you think you are correcting that needs correct. You still need 50+ years of study of both physics and mathematics even to approach such vast questions. I still find it unkind or somehow arrogant of you to accuse me of not helping. I answered I've answered your, but you haven't even attempted to read what I've written. I'm still tired of always of always having to explain thin - and then be mean person because I say what is true and not what they what want to hear. Non Imprimatur.

Who knows, people, is he right?

Incidentally, I have tried to read his material. Either he is so brilliant as to be far over my head, using concepts that are too advanced for me to understand, or he is simply talking jargon that is poorly defined and which he doesn't really understand, himself. That is so much the case of "scientific writing," especially in the advanced physical science realm. Are the writers saying anything profound, or do they simply think they are? DS

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

Discussion subject changed to "CAVEAT EMPTOR, 'Relativism Re: On being 'another' de

Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Apr 12 2010, 10:48 am

Dean's notions are a 'world/UNIVERSE withing themselves' which is a given. That they are as 'valid' as the

COMMON INTITUTIONALLY SANCTIONED JARGONIZED fields of related 'science'(a la' tenured acadamia etc.)

is also a reasonable supposition.

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[K12 Online High School](#)

Learn Math From Home At Your Pace With K12 Accredited School. [www.K12.com](#)

[Become a Math Teacher](#)

Most Affordable Option to Become A Math Teacher. Accredited. Sign Up! [www.WGU.edu](#)

[See your message here...](#)

VOLTAIRE is just as valid here within 'exotic views' of physics etc.: eg.--> paraphrased
"Whether I 'agree' with

a concept you present(or not) is IRRELEVANT; I will DEFEND YOUR RIGHT TO PRESENT
YOUR VIEWS figuratively

& literally 'to the death.'-----> WHY: Whatever the relative overall 'accuracy'(or not) of your
over all presented 'SCHEMA,' maybe

just ONE SINGLE OF YOUR MOST COGENT 'POINTS' -----may----->lead SOMEBODY
(maybe not even born yet) to

see a TESLA EPIPHANY that will be the NEXT BIG THING!

THE ARROGANCE of the 'ESTABLISHED & TENURIZED' that simply LACK the
IMAGINATION &/or FORTITUDE to venture

beyond the ESTABLISHED STOCKADE OF CURRENTLY ESTABLISHED ACADEMIC
'NORMS' of DATA-INTERPRETATION;

is no 'new' thing. And by such stratified 'attitudes' COPERNICUS-&-GALILEO & Co. were
'censured' as well. 50+ years of INDOCTRINATION will make 'ONE'

merely ONE MORE FOSSIL for the MUSEUM; aka another ICON to be shattered before we
ADVANCE-METAMORPHOSIZE our outlooks and actually move beyond the frontiers that
INSTITUTIONALIZED ACADAMIC TENURED will be made OBSOLETE by and no longer
have PAYCHECKS to back their INSTITUTIONALIZED CASTE-STRATIFIED
ARROGANCE. . .

?!? DREAM-ON ! ? !----->ABSOLUTELY! as per Einstein---->"IMAGINATION is MORE
IMPORTANT than 'KNOWLEDGE!'" ?Why? 'KNOWLEDGE' is DYNAMIC, & FLUID, &
PERENNIALY SUBJECT TO REVISION & UPGRADE &/or down right OBSOLESCENCE.

REAL SCIENTISTS are NOT POLICEMAN for the INSTITUTIONALIZED 'FIELD;' but
rather are INCORRIGABLE EXPLORERS. . . .

Standing for(and teaching) the foundational 'basics' is no crime; but wisdom is in knowing
that those 'basics' and the way that we maybe view 'incompletely-inaccurately,' is a mere
'beginning' point. . . & per Robert Frost--> "Two paths diverged in the yellow woods, and I
took the one LESS TRAVELLED BY; and 'that' has made ALL THE DIFFERENCE!"

CASE IN POINT: ALL MODERN TECHNOLOGY is made possible by one EXTREMELY
WIERD NON-CONFORMIST----->aka---->NIKOLA TESLA-->that spent ABSOLUTELY NO
TIME supporting the INSTITUTIONALIZED-ACADEMIC-TENURED theories & nor
'conventions' of the STATUS-QUO. . . . aka ---->The 'status-quo' and its psuedo-intellectual
caste stratified 'attitudes' is VIRTUALLY IRRELEVANT to the 'Future Progression of the
NEXT GREAT PLATEAU of Physics/Scientific discovery/progress.' In this such as JOHN
HUTCHISON is infinitely MORE RELEVANT than the Cop who recommends 50+ MORE
YEARS OF INDOCTRINATION in the PATENTLY UNFRUITFUL. . .

- Show quoted text -

Hotmail: Trusted email with powerful SPAM protection.
<https://signup.live.com/signup.aspx?id=60969>

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Apr 13 2010, 6:44 pm

Thanks, Jack. DLS

On Apr 12, 10:48 am, Jack O Suileabhain <braghgoerin...@hotmail.com>
wrote:

- Show quoted text -

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance

+ Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

News to the group. Re: Vigier VII Symposium. The Search for....

Options

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Apr 27 2010, 3:56 pm

Folks, get your thinking caps on and your extensions of the ideas together, as it looks like old Eski, himself, is going to have to try to be the group representative at the first presentation of our work in to more or less the main stream by a remote hook up from here in Aberdeen, to London, sometime in the July 10-14 period

.The Interim Publisher of the Aberdeen American News, for whom I work a Friday A.M. shift, has offered the use of their studio for the contact.

There should be a short precis on the Vigier VII web site within the next week....I've yet to get together 75 Euros for the reduced fee, and the money to do the wire transfer. Luckily. I get my Soc. Sec. check next week....

Once that's paid, we'll have a slot for a paper in their proceedings and our work will have a small toe-hold off the Internet....

I need as good a "handle" as I can get on where everybody stands by about mid-June so I can reference as much as possible....I want to get as many people a little recognition as I can..Cheers, ESKI..

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Oscillator/Substance Theory" group.

To post to this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com.

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory?hl=en>.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 3 2010, 1:48 pm

Hi, Everybody,

Looks like I'm replying to myself: however, it seems to be the simplest way to up date the information.

I just sent in the "entry fee" and a short summary of some of what I hope to be able to present. The dates are July 12-14, I previously thought 10-14.... Here is the little summary that I sent in.

A Framework for a Fundamental Theory?

Dean L(eRoy) Sinclair

An idea, "Perhaps one could pretend to be a genius by consciously trying to 'see the overlooked obvious'," when applied to physical science data--some dating back to before 1900--has led to a simple--

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Dell™ EqualLogic™ iSCSI](#)

InfoWorld's Best Storage System of 2011, With VMware vSphere Support.

www.EqualLogic.com

[Dell Blade Servers](#)

Free White Paper Downloads & Advice

Dell Blade Servers w/ Intel®

Xeon®.

www.dell.com/Blades

[Homeschool Science](#)

Engaging Curriculum &

Personalized

Attention From K12 - Learn More!

www.K12.com

[See your message here...](#)

but inclusive--model which may provide a framework for a comprehensive theory uniting the fields of physical science.

This model--which could possibly be dubbed "The Research Results of the Pretend Genius Approach--" is currently called the "Oscillator/Substance Model." Its basic tenet is "All existence is the result of sequential 'action-reaction-action' interactions within a Substance/Substrate of undefined basic composition and extent." This continued "sequential equilibration" results in constant motion such that the system is composed of/controlled by oscillators.

Some of these oscillators are vortexes which have long term stability. Their interactions result in "Matter."

Among the results of this view are an explanation for "charges," and the related definition of the size, shape and form of electrons and protons; a solution to the problem of the "Four Forces of Nature," and of the "Matter of the Missing Anti-matter." More details of the developmental process which led to this model, the definitions which arise from it and the relationship to some of the other theoretical approaches is also covered as well as other implications of the model in various areas. For one example--what may be a very basic reason the Hadron Collider has a rather low probability of ever being able to fulfill its original mission is mentioned.

Much of the information covered in the paper is available in a number of short "pages" published on an Internet Site, whose URL is <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory>.

ESKI

On Apr 27, 3:56 pm, ESKI <deanlsincl...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Oscillator/Substance Theory" group.
To post to this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com.
To unsubscribe from this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.
For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory?hl=en>.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance

+ Theory

Search this group

Se

View this page "Congruent Parallelogram Theorum "

[Options](#)

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 4 2010, 7:26 pm

Hi, Everybody, This paper is a bit more mathematical than most of what I have posted here; but, some people may find it interesting. As usual comment is invited. ESKI

Click on <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/web/congrue...>

- or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Oscillator/Substance Theory" group.

To post to this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com.

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory?hl=en>.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

Discussion subject changed to "Discussion on congruent-parallelogram-th

Hugh V [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 19 2010, 12:19 pm

What Doc is saying here is essentially the idea that I used in the graphing that I wrote about. which gives a graph of an oscillator.

This perceptual universe that he postulates i, is the one in which we exist....He is waffelling a bit....

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Oscillator/Substance Theory" group.

To post to this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com.

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory?hl=en>.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Download Google Chrome](#)

Searching is fast and easy with Google's web browser. www.google.com/chrome

[Theory at Park & Bond](#)

Shop the Newest Menswear Styles by

Theory. Get Free Shipping Today! www.parkandbond.com/Theory

[See your message here...](#)

THE CONGRUENT PARALLELOGRAM THEOREM AND A PERCEPTUAL UNIVERSE

The "Congruent Parallelogram Theorem" applied to two constants of nature, Planck's Constant, "h," and the Speed of Light, "c," and the Torque Constant of Nature which arises as their ratio, "h/c," produces a description of a "Perceptual Universe," in which "h," and "c," are valid descriptors.

The right and left halves of the mathematical relationship, $Ax \times By = K = Bx \times Ay$, can be said to describe, "congruent parallelograms."

This is independent of the dimension units attached to the variables, "x," and "y." This relationship, which may be called, "The Congruent Parallelogram Theorem," is widely applicable in the field of physics where it appears in a number of guises. It is the Law of Levers, the simplest expression of the Conservation of Momentum and of the Law of Forces, "For each and every force there is an equal and opposite force."

In general, it may be stated, "If there be a constant, "K," which can be analyzed as the product of two factors, the theorem is applicable in analyzing implications of that constant." Applying this to Planck's Constant, "h," the Speed of Light, "c," and the Torque Constant of Nature, which is their ratio, "h/c," produces a description of a "Perceptual Universe," in which these constants are valid.

Writing the definition, "The Speed of Light is the combination of the Frequency and Wavelength, in the Theorem form, $Af \times Bw = c = Bf \times Aw$, where "f," is a frequency unit definition, "w," a wavelength unit definition and "A," and "B" are the absolute values associated, We see that for any associated pair of wavelength and frequency there will exist an "exactly" congruent set which can be found by reversing the associated absolute values. Another very interesting observation is that there will be an "instant" or "set of instances" wherein the absolute values of the frequency and the wavelength are exactly the same, i.e., $f = w = c^{0.5}$. This interesting relationship will be explored farther at another point.

An important set, which may be considered to define the "Upper and Lower Cut-off Communication Frequencies for a Perceptual Universe" can be found in the following manner. The starting point is the Planck formula for electromagnetic radiation--thought to be the fastest communication method known--Energy equals Planck's Constant times Frequency, $E = h \times f$. Realizing that the totality of motions involved in the entire "Energy" of this Perceptual Universe may be represented as a Unity, "One," we write, " $1 = h \times f$," and see that the corresponding frequency would be " $1/h$," the "reciprocal of Planck's Constant." the corresponding wavelength, found from, $c = f \times w$, is " ch ." This very high frequency and short wave length would be the "High Frequency Cut-off." The reversal, "frequency, ch ," and "wavelength, $1/h$," would define the "Low Frequency Cut-off." (In the cgs system of units the high frequency cutoff would be at about $1.5 \times 10^{+26}$ cycles per second at a wavelength of about 1.97×10^{-16} cm. and the low-frequency cut-off at 1.97×10^{-16} cps. and a wave-length of $1.5 \times 10^{+26}$ cm.

Additional information arises from the "Torque Constant, h/c." This expression is found to be a torque, "mass times radius," by equating Planck's Constant to its definition as an angular momentum, "mass times radius times velocity. to get the expression,

$h = m \times r \times v$ where "m," is a "mass," moving at a "tangential velocity, v, " at a distance, "r." from some defined center. Into this expression is inserted "c--" in its role as an average velocity in any given direction--for "v." This produces the equation,

$h = m \times r \times c$, which, rearranged into the Theorem form, $m \times r = h/c = r \times m$, can be seen to be an equation which defines a "family" of oscillators of torque constant, h/c , with an average values where $m = r = (h/c)^{0.5}$.

This last relationship, $(h/c)^{0.5}$, would define a central sphere or circle through which all of the oscillators of this family of the set,

$\{m \times r = h/c = r \times m\}$, would invert. This radius value is about 4.7×10^{-19} cm. The "mass," measured for any oscillator at this distance, would be about 4.7×10^{-19} grams. (Our postulated "Universe," has some interesting coincidences in the areas of Quantum Mechanics and String Theory. Quantum Mechanics is said to fail at below 10^{-18} cm., essentially this inversion radius. The strings of String Theory are said to vanish into a "Hole," at 10^{-18} cm. This "Hole" would have essentially the diameter of the inversion circle/sphere that appears in the above reasoning.)

If it be assumed that a wavelength associated with this average unit is the circumference of the unit, i. e.. $2\pi (h/c)^{0.5}$, a possible average oscillator frequency for this "Universe," would be $c/[2\pi(h/c)^{0.5}]$ which is equal to $c^{1.5}/2\pi (h)^{0.5}$. As "Time" can be seen as the reciprocal of frequency, a basic time unit can be defined as $2\pi \times h^{0.5}/c^{1.5}$.

One can assume a wavelength associated with the radius, to obtain a simpler set of expressions, $c^{1.5}/h^{0.5}$ and $h^{0.5}/c^{1.5}$. This type of assumption would agree with the situation which arises when one checks out the electron and proton as members of the set $\{m \times r = h/c\}$. when rest mass is considered as one limit, the radius is found to correspond to the "Compton Wavelength" of the electron or proton.

Going back to the situation of $f = w = c^{0.5}$, one can check out what will result if one looks at an oscillator based on this situation, assuming that "w" can be taken to be the equivalent to "r" for a limit of an associated oscillator of the "h/c set." If $w = r = c^{0.5}$, then the corresponding "m" equals $(h/c)/c^{0.5} = h/c^{1.5}$, and the reversed limits are $r = h/c^{1.5}$ and $m = c^{0.5}$. That is, this oscillator would be a sphere containing an internal sphere, the outer sphere having the radius of $c^{0.5}$ and the inner sphere would have the radius of $h/c^{1.5}$.

In every case we are assuming that we are operating with the absolute values of "c" and "h" in whatever consistent set of units we care to use and that the square root values are also used as absolute values.

In summary, applying the Congruent Parallelogram Theorem to two basic laws of nature and their ratio has resulted in the definition of some of the characteristics of a postulated "Perceptual Universe" wherein communication would be controlled by these units. There has been defined a high and low frequency cut-off and an average size and mass for a family of oscillators that would be presumed to operate in this postulated Universe. An average frequency and time reference are also derived.

This postulated "Perceptual Universe" can be extended as a possible model for "Our Reality," by evaluating the electron and proton as possible oscillators of this set and bringing in additional information. To an extent this is essentially what has been done in "pages," published on the Internet site,

URL, <http://groups.google/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory>. On that site the subjects of "Virtual Electrons" and "Super Symmetry" have not been addressed. It is possible that both of these concepts would have pertinence to our "Perceptual Universe<" as developed here, through the application of the Congruent Parallelogram Theorem to frequencies associated with the electron and proton. However, this is beyond the scope of this paper and should be addressed as a separate topic.

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance

+ Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

FW: *NASA: David Adair's 'Quasi-Fusion:' ?Cold, Warm, Hot?

Options

5 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 10 2010, 11:27 am

Provenance: Werner Von Braun, Hermann Oberth, David Adair-NASA Adv.Prop.Res.Prct., Wu Yeong Wei(Andy Wu), R.A.-Ned-Allen, Whitt Brantley-NASA Adv.Prop.Res.Prjct., Marc Rayman JPL-NASA.

-blame Jack Harbach O'Sullivan--)

Re comment: THUSWISE realizing this 'model' for expanding-dialating Protons(as micro-singularities) enhances the description of NOT ONLY CHEMICAL REACTIONS but also of FUSION REACTION be they 'cold,' warm, or hot.

And Dave Adair's rocket in a magnetic bottle(EMF-Toriod-Plasma Breach) was fairly accurately described as a 'chemical-reaction' rising to DIALATED-PROTON quasi-FUSION levels of energy output. And thusly he called his motors(now state of the art in missile technology) as 'Controlled-Chemical-Fusion' rocket motors

NASA: David Adair's 'Quasi-Fusion:' ?Cold, Warm, Hot?

ADAIR BOTTLE IGNITION CHAMBER Re: Saline Mist H2O Jets, Vandegraaf Hi-density static charge hyrolysis-ignition nodes fired by Hi-EMF-density Capacitors. Sodium capacitative ionic-charge disperses-facilitates-catalizes the charge throughout the Adair Chamber.

*SPRITES & JETS emulation: The Adair quasi-fusion rocket is copying the atmospheric flux-tornadoes-vortices that such up oceanic saline mist and then conduct inductive (lightning)hi-density charge and thusly become a 'natural' Adair-bottles which in turn foment a H2O-hydrolytic-then-firing sequence. The H2 + O2 reaction is attenuated in that 'some' of the O2 is becomes rather O3-Ozone and some H2 in the hydrolytic-split is form of H1-H1.

Within the High-Charge electro-plasmic medium the Proton-singularity-centres are expanded allowing a 'dialated-eye'/induction-amplification of the Proton and its axial-flow Electro-Valent quantum-electron-flux-plasma shell with a marginal surge of ingress electro-Aexoplasma.

The Proton as a balanced gray-hole singularity-&-shell 'micro-system' thus becomes 'whitish'(marginally more ingress electro-plasma from parallel AexoDarkEnergy HyperSpace); and thus in this High-Energy state also with the free Hydrogens, the futher energetic chemical firing of the hydrolytically-split Hydrogen & Oxygen completes the 'total' energy situation that causes 'some' of the free-hydrogen to FUSE in He-Helium. This is the chemistry/fusion piggy-back process of the Adair Mag-Vortex Bottle firing chamber rocket motor as well as the causal process for the meteorological-atmospheric phenomena of Sprites & Jets.

It's 'not' for-nothing that Werner Von Braun made (then) 17-year-old David Adair(now of NASA) his protege' after David demonstrated his 'mag-vortex-bottle quasi-fusion motor' at his high-school science fair that he had constructed in his father's machine shop.

And thusly the 'wild-card' factor can never be discounted as a critical adjunct to the more conventional inputs of classically univerty trained science-mathematicians-physics engineers & theorists.

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Particle Identification](#)

Particle size, shape & make-up Using SEM/EDS/AFA Analysis [www.herguth.com](#)

[ColdFusion Firewall](#)

Easily deploys to your CFML Apps Blocks XSS, SQL Injection and more [foudeo.com/security/](#)

[See your message here...](#)

Although David Adair's 'piggy-back chemical/quasi-fusion' system is 'not exactly' LENR-CANR cold-fusion; its significance cannot be dismissed.

RE Anti-Matter & Cern-Hadron, Fermilab, etc. It needs be noted that the Proton in the balanced Gray-State micro-singularity state creates a magneflux core-flow circulation creating its 'atmospheric' electro-valent-QUANTUM-ELECTRON SHELL. The directional flow accounts for the polarity of the Proton with its singularity-directional-axial electro-plasma flow(say northward) vs the EV-Shell circulating the same-electro-plasma/magna-flux-field 'southward.' So in short this is the same electro-flux magnetic field phenomenon that we are so prozaically familiar with; but the Nikola Tesla, for instance, did so very 'much' with that we are still 'catching-up' to in 'theory' that we have never yet thoroughly defined.

HOWEVER: When the Super-Collided H-single-Protons are 'smashed' the axial-flow of said Proton Singularities is converted BLACK-SINGULARITY so that both poles are paroxismally 'flowing' back into the 'centre' and thus the QUANTUM-ELECTRON SHELL is immediately 'swallowed' and subsequently at the 'micro-black-hole' level the energy of the Proton is absorbed through it's singularity centre back in to parallel-AexoDarkenergy-Hyperspace; and at which point the micro-black-hole winks shut.

CASE IN POINT: This IS 'really' what is happening ref. the anti-matter explosive-phenomenon. Actually what is happening is that the micro-Hawking's-Radiant 'electro-plasmic-back-wash' at the 'wink-out' point is trapped within a very brief hyper-compressed-cavitated state, but then explosively 'released' upon the 'wink-shut-closure' of the micro-black-hole.

THUSLY: Anti-matter is a Fiction & a Red-Herring. Any 'normal-stable-matter-atoms' within immediate contact of this process will perforce be 'ripped-asunder' and will thus release its energy explosively as a quasi-fission reaction. And this is the actual story on what has been 'misnomered' as 'anti-matter-contacting-matter' reaction.

THEORY based on the Anti-Matter conceptual model as a 'quasi-equal-state(quantity)-to-matter' at the Big-Bang is more or less a complete waste of time. Proton's are not 'little quasi-inert micro-planets' & Electron's are 'not' little 'ball-lightening-suns' orbiting Protons. And thusly the so-called 'model' of 'anti-matter' as simply matter-with-reverse-charge-Protons vs Electrons is 'hogwash' and one of the greatest psuedo-scientific-red-herrings since mankind was 'sure' that the sun, moon, stars, & universe orbited 'Holy-Planet-Earth' because 'any fool' could see with his/her 'own-two-eyes' that this was so. . . ~JHOS~

Hi, Jack,

Yep, the proton would have a ball in a magnetic bottle reacting in exactly the opposite way that an electron would, since it is coordinated motion of electrons which set up the magnetism.... This is almost exactly the reason that the Hadron Collider will never work-- .Least not the way they intended it to -- Essentially, it is an over-sized magnetic bottle.....Dean

Dean: I see it as the 'concept of free-electrons' as 'sovereign-quasi-indepenent energy objects' is as deceptive as is the models of atoms as tooth-picks & colored-plastic-balls.

The electro-valent shell-whole field of a single Hydrogen Atom can be stated as having the energy of ONE QUANTUM ELECTRON. But QUANTUM-ELECTRONS do 'not' travel free of their Protons. (?But what 'moron' would say this in the face of sacred dogma? except me~;-)

HOWEVER the QUANTUM-ELECTRON has a SPECIFIC ENERGY SPEED-DENSITY signature which is the ELECTRO-MOTIVE-FORCE-SPEED-DENSITY which I have posited as roughly +or- (C/2)^2quared, or Half Light-Speed squared is the speed density of ELECTRO-MOTIVE FORCE. This notwithstanding that the WHOLE-ATOMs total-energy would be EC^2quared. ergo M=EC^2quared. And FREE EMForce is one more level of 'Helicoid-Wave-String' as are all other wave/wavicle forms in the graduated energy spectrum.(rather than being little floating independent particulate 'orblets'). I would that each WAVE-CREST to wave-crest of EMForce Helicoid-wave-string is also ONE QUANTUM ELECTRON.

And relative plus-charge/minus-charge polarity(magnetic-flux-flow) is determined by the Axial-circulation-flow from the balanced gray-hole micro-singularity-centre of the Proton which expands into the 'circulating to south-pole' Quantum-Electron-Shell 'micro-atmospheric' field of the Atom.

And of course the question is begged, "OK genius, then what in the heck IS electrical current if NOT the 'flow' of electrons. Electrical is the 'flow' of ELECTRO-MOTIVE SPEED-

DENSITY FORCE and the OUTER-MOST ELECTRO-VALENT SHELLS of 'conductors' facilitates the FLOW of FREE-EMForce-flow as water flows over pebbles(but obviously actively)----->as the EMForce flowing over the outer electro-valent shells INCREASES the ELECTRO-VALENT-SHELLS as a QUANTUM-ELECTRON-PLUS field(the shell acting as a micro-capacitor)----->and this in turn ENERGIZES the PROTON(as a singularity)and thusly DIALATES THE PROTON(to expanded above-'normal' ingress of sub-AexoDarkPlasma).

SO THE OVERALL 'Proton-Singularity with axial-flow Quantum-Electron Shell' then has FURTHER AMPERAGE/VOLTAGE 'discharge' capacity to STIMULATE EMForce flow that began by stimulating/flowing-over it. Its symbiotic---->the EMForce flow stimulates the conductor-quantum-electron shell which in turn stimulates/dialates the Proton expanding its 'ingress-energy' rate from parallel AexoDarkEnergy-HyperSpace which inturn charges-up further the EV-Shell thus releasing the OVERCHARGE into the EMForce flow.

THE QUANTUM-ELECTRON SHELL is the speed-density TRANSLATOR of the INGRESS-AEXOPLASMA into the 'quasi-routine' Speed-density of EMForce/Electricity. And of course the routine Voltage-Ampereage variations reflect the 'balance' between the Proton-Dialation to Ingress-Plasma Rate to the QUANTUM-ELECTRON CONVERSION-capacitance-discharge rate.

THUSWISE realizing this 'model' for epanding-dialating Protons is the description of NOT ONLY CHEMICAL REACTIONS but also of FUSION REACTION be they 'cold,' warm, or hot.

And Dave Adair's rocket in a magnetic bottle(EMF-Toriod-Plasma Breach) was fairly accurately described as a 'chemical-reaction' rising to DIALATED-PROTON quasi-FUSION levels of energy output. And thusly he called his motors(now state of the art in missile technology) as 'Controlled-Chemical-Fusion' rocket motors~Jack~

Hotmail: Trusted email with powerful SPAM protection.
<https://signup.live.com/signup.aspx?id=60969>

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Oscillator/Substance Theory" group.
To post to this group, send email to ...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 10 2010, 4:51 pm

I'm going to have to read all of this very carefully, however, you and I are certainly in agreement about a lot of things. Don't remember if you've read my articles on Helium with respect of Anti-matter and the latest one on the Hadron Collider.....DS

On Mon, May 10, 2010 at 11:27 AM, Jack O Suileabhain <

- [Show quoted text](#) -

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

Hugh V [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 17 2010, 2:32 pm

Going over this carefully, it appears that Adair and crew are doing essentially what, years later, my theorizing predicts.

The ideas of matter and anti-matter have logical contradiction. As a proton can be shown to be identical to an anti-electron which has had most of its Kinetic Energy converted to rotational-vibrational motion, which we measure as "mass." if the anti-electron is "anti-matter." then so also is the proton. I won't go into details but it is becoming quite clear that the only difference between matter and

anti-matter is apparently some sort of phase angle relationship, not exactly 180 degrees out of phase as would be expected' and any atom is actually a composite oscillator made up of "equal" amounts of "matter" and anti-matter components. In our Universe, the relationship is such that we simply read limits from what we call "matter." Probably due to interactions within the half-oscillator we call our universe, possibly with contribution from the "Galaxy" oscillator and other influences..

The situation that Adair sets up is a situation in which there is formed a plasma in which protons and Hydrogen atom and Hydrogen Molecules will occur. This turns out to be a situation in which fusion will occur. The first being HH+ to D+ which is an easy step away from He+ . H+ in a magnetic field, will be accelerated, gaining spin, hence "enhanced charge "and becoming a definite activator for the H2+ to D+ transform...

.. Yes. Adair's Rocket motor makes sense. My theorizing says that a source of positive ions in an atmosphere of Hydrogen, Deuterium or Helium will set up a fusion chain reaction. Adair's rig sets up such a situation of production of cations. Doing it within a magnetic field was a stroke of genius. I'll bet that were Hydrogen gas injected into one of his motors it would be even more efficient as he is having to generate the H2 by aqueous electrolysis in situ. Deuterium would be even more effective...Chiaux, Dean

On May 10, 4:51 pm, dean sinclair <deanlsincl...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

Hugh V [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 17 2010, 2:50 pm

I probably should have noted that at the moment, I am writing off Hugh's site, which I have access to, as for some unknown reason, my own site has been "temporarily discontinued." Dean ,

On May 17, 2:32 pm, Hugh V <hughv...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

Discussion subject changed to ""NASA: David Adair's 'Quasi-Fusion:' ?Cold, Warm, Hot?"

Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 18 2010, 11:13 am

Dean: The original inputs of Dave Adair's initial experiments used 'water-injection' on the symplol principal of old school internal combustion(reciprocal) aircraft engines.

I think that he got the original idea from his Dad. Within the Hyper-Magnetic-Toroid-Vortex

'Bottle' design it did 'wonderful' things. Long since he likely graduated

to Liquid-Oxygen + Liquid-Hydrogen designs that 'cut to the chase' on the whole affair.

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance

+ Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

FW: *NASA: David Adair's 'Quasi-Fusion:' ?Cold, Warm, Hot?

Options

5 messages - [Expand all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

Jack O Suileabhain Provenance: Werner Von Braun, Hermann ... May 10 2010, 11:27 am
 dean sinclair [View profile](#) [More options](#) May 10 2010, 4:51 pm

I'm going to have to read all of this very carefully, however, you and I are certainly in agreement about a lot of things. Don't remember if you've read my articles on Helium with respect to Anti-matter and the latest one on the Hadron Collider.....DS

On Mon, May 10, 2010 at 11:27 AM, Jack O Suileabhain <

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

Hugh V [View profile](#) [More options](#) May 17 2010, 2:32 pm

Going over this carefully, it appears that Adair and crew are doing essentially what, years later, my theorizing predicts.

The ideas of matter and anti-matter have logical contradiction. As a proton can be shown to be identical to an anti-electron which has had most of its Kinetic Energy converted to rotational-vibrational motion, which we measure as "mass." if the anti-electron is "anti-matter." then so also is the proton. I won't go into details but it is becoming quite clear that the only difference between matter and anti-matter is apparently some sort of phase angle relationship, not exactly 180 degrees out of phase as would be expected' and any atom is actually a composite oscillator made up of "equal" amounts of "matter" and anti-matter components. In our Universe, the relationship is such that we simply read limits from what we call "matter." Probably due to interactions within the half-oscillator we call our universe, possibly with contribution from the "Galaxy" oscillator and other influences..

The situation that Adair sets up is a situation in which there is formed a plasma in which protons and Hydrogen atom and Hydrogen Molecules will occur. This turns out to be a situation in which fusion will occur. The first being HH+ to D+ which is an easy step away from He+ . H+ in a magnetic field, will be accelerated, gaining spin, hence "enhanced charge "and becoming a definite activator for the H2+ to D+ transform...

.. Yes. Adair's Rocket motor makes sense. My theorizing says that a source of positive ions in an atmosphere of Hydrogen, Deuterium or Helium will set up a fusion chain reaction. Adair's rig sets up such a situation of production of cations. Doing it within a magnetic field was a stroke of genius. I'll bet that were Hydrogen gas injected into one of his motors it would be even more efficient as he is having to generate the H2 by aqueous electrolysis in situ. Deuterium would be

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Bloomberg Government](#)

Get Access to the Business

Nuclear Energy Industry News.

[www.bgov.com](#)

[Alternative Energy - BP](#)

See how BP's advanced technologies

are expanding energy production.

[www.bp.com/energymix](#)

[Masters in Public Policy](#)

Earn a Master's Degree in Public

Policy Online at NEC. Free

Brochure

[PublicPolicy.NEC.edu](#)

[See your message here...](#)

even more effective...Chiaux, Dean

On May 10, 4:51 pm, dean sinclair <deansincl...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

Hugh V [View profile](#) [More options](#) May 17 2010, 2:50 pm

I probably should have noted that at the moment, I am writing off Hugh's site, which I have access to, as for some unknown reason, my own site has been "temporarily discontinued." Dean ,

On May 17, 2:32 pm, Hugh V <hughv...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

Discussion subject changed to **"*NASA: David Adair's 'Quasi-Fusion:' ?Cold, Warm, Hot?"**

Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#) [More options](#) May 18 2010, 11:13 am

Dean: The original inputs of Dave Adair's initial experiments used 'water-injection' on the sympl principal of old school internal combustion(reciprocal) aircraft engines. I think that he got the original idea from his Dad. Within the Hyper-Magnetic-Toroid-Vortex 'Bottle' design it did 'wonderful' things. Long since he likely graduated to Liquid-Oxygen + Liquid-Hydrogen designs that 'cut to the chase' on the whole affair.

[- Show quoted text -](#)

...

[read more »](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

The fluid theorem...

Options

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

heycollin [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 7 2010, 10:33 pm

To Mr. Sinclair,

According to my own theories, one universe cannot exist without another. Let me explain. You mentioned the circular motion of matter/anti-matter. Think of the "first" universe as the middle. Imagine the distance between universes (do they exist separate from each other?) as spots on the water. If a ripple happens in one, let's say time... It affects the others at the same rate, or shortly thereafter, like a ripple in the water. If you throw a stone in the water, the ripple goes out from the middle. That's like something happening here. As the ripple goes out, sometimes it meets other ripples. This is like meeting other universes. The ripples cross and are forever changed. What do you think about this one, Dean?

Best regards,

Collin

--
 You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Oscillator/Substance Theory" group.
 To post to this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com.
 To unsubscribe from this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.
 For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory?hl=en>.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 10 2010, 6:03 pm

Collin, Makes sense to me.... Dean

- [Show quoted text](#) -

--
 You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Oscillator/Substance Theory" group.
 To post to this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com.
 To unsubscribe from this group, send email to oscillatorsubstance-theory+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.
 For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory?hl=en>.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

« [Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



[View this group in the new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

MITS

Business Intelligence and Advanced Reporting Solutions for SQL and MV
www.mits.com

Masters in Public Policy

Earn a Master's Degree in Public Policy Online at NEC. Free Brochure
PublicPolicy.NEC.edu

Improve Building Control

Save Money Through Increased Energy Efficiency With Johnson Controls.
makeyourbuildingswork.com

[See your message here...](#)

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Web more -

deanlsinclair@gmail.com -

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

An old essay that might be of interest,

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)hugh vreeland [View profile](#)[More options](#) May 19 2010, 11:52 am

Hi, folks,

Looking over my old documents of about three years ago, I ran into this little essay which I apparently wrote back when Osc/sub theory was Motion in a Matrix. The article I'm referring to would have to be Doc's original Motion in a matrix paper, the trial balloon on Helium. Have fun!.....Hugh

Motion

Yikes! Could it be that I'm a combination of motions in a collection of dots or maybe a bunch of twisted strings in ten dimensions? I think I like the motions in dots better, it's easier to try to understand. Maybe we are programs in some computers run by fifth dimensional--or eleventh or twelfth dimensional beings. Someone called us, "Thoughts in the mind of God." I don't recall who that was.

I've read the long lead article on this topic several times and find the logic interesting. I also ran into another article on the infinite divisibility of matter, states that a few physicists are looking at existence as motions in some sort of an aether matrix which seems to be the same idea. So I guess there really isn't anything new under the sun. That's what my writing instructor told me years ago. "There's no such thing as a new idea or topic, Just try to give a different view."

So, let's try a different view of the idea used in the article we were just discussing of equating $(mv^2)/2$ and hf , with "f" standing in for "nu" which looks too much like a "v." Now if our assumption that $(mv^2)/2=hf$, where, "h," is, of course, Planck's constant, and "f" is a frequency. Then, if I remember rightly, we can write another equation that would also be valid which goes like this, considering "m" a constant, $(mv^3)/6=(hf^2)/2$. This is by "integrating" both sides with respect to time and assuming that "v" and "f" are related variables. We can also do something else, we can "differentiate" both sides and get $mv=h$. If we evaluate this at "c," we get a value of "mass" embedded in Planck's constant. This value, in grams, can be calculated: 6.63×10^{-27} divided by 3×10^{10} which equals 2.21×10^{-37} g. This value would be the "mass that cancels out" in Dr.S's discussion. If we put this value of mass back into the original, "undifferentiated" equation and solve for "f" we get a frequency of 3×10^{10} cycles/sec. as this number is the same number as the speed of light in cm./sec., what we have determined is the mass equivalent of a wave length of one centimeter.

Going back to the "integrated" equation and evaluating it at "c" the speed of light, we, if our assumptions are correct, should find some other values that would somehow be constants of nature. The figures I get by various mathematical manipulations of this formula and other energy formulas are, as follows: 3.67×10^{28} cps, 243 ergs, and 2.7×10^{-1} grams. Whether these correspond to any published constants will need to be investigated.

Dr.S's value of 6.9×10^{46} cps. for frequency which he calculated as a maximum strain in the matrix can be converted to a mass value of about 4.57×10^{18} grams. This could be the maximum mass which could travel "at the speed of light." It would be interesting to compare this to estimated masses of certain celestial bodies.

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Low Cost Linear Motion](#)Full range of engineered solutions
- Linear guides, bearings actuators
[www.PBClinear.com](#)[Download Google Chrome](#)A free browser that lets you
do more of what you like on the web
[www.google.com/chrome](#)[Masters in Public Policy](#)Earn a Masters in Public Policy
Online at New England College.
[PublicPolicy.NEC.edu](#)[See your message here...](#)

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more

deansinclair@gmail.com

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

 Search this group Search Groups

Looks like we may be "orphans"

Options

5 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)Hugh V [View profile](#)[More options](#) May 25 2010, 3:23 pm

It looks like this sight may be a small "family of orphans" with out a parent or any way to add new members.

Although this group is supposed to be open membership, to be able to keep out useless spam, the managers set up a situation to monitor first submissions. That worked fine as long as we had manager's.

Unfortunately, something happened that Google temporarily suspended Eski's account, (our owner-manager) and it looks like the term "temporary suspension" means that although his mail box will keep collecting stuff, he cant get into it, and cant get into this site to do management..It also seems to mean that they are going to leave the temporary on forever..

We don't know what is the situation with Ka-Sala, our other manager. We hope she is O.K, bukt we havent herd from her for a while., Without an owner or manager, this account is a closed group.

I think that I'd advise anyone that is interested in the information stored here that they might want to copy off the parts they need and store it elsewhere. If, for some unknown reason, Eski has become persona non grata to Google, there is no way of telling when they might lower the boom on this site.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)ka-sala [View profile](#)[More options](#) May 25 2010, 6:37 pm

Hello Hugh V
I'm alive...

But didn't know all this has happened in my absensce until today 26 May 2010. Can you fill me in some more ? I received a note from Eski dated 28 April but have only got the Net back yesterday since moving. I see there is a LOT to go over. But this 'Banning' of Eski ? Google have been 'in error' for some time re accepting any management (or making it pssible even by the task Eski has placed on me.) Any suggestions ? I don't believe we are 'orphans' ... but ??? Will wait to hear from you.

Regards,
ka-sala

On May 26, 6:23 am, Hugh V <hughv...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

Discussion subject changed to "Hacked-Allusion created: 'MADE' to Looks like we may be

Jack O Suileabhain [View profile](#)[More options](#) May 27 2010, 10:01 am

NOTE to FRIENDS: When somebody-whoever has the 'stroke' &/or malicious inclination

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)

View this group in the
[new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[AdWords Acct Suspended?](#)

We Can Help. Get Your Good Name Back With AdWords. 14 Days or Less.
www.PPCRenegade.com/AdWordsSo

[Send Mass Email](#)

Create, Manage and Send Email to Interested Customers and Prospects.
www.ConstantContact.com

[Top Penny Auction - #1](#)

Save Up to 90% off Retail
All Items In-Stock & Ready to Ship
www.BidCactus.com/OverStock

[See your message here...](#)

to screw up your site; then that means that you are DOING SOMETHING RIGHT.

SURPRESSION OF IDEAS & concepts that 'threaten' the staid-ivy-Halled establishment,

is a 'solid-indicator' that you are being: #1. OBSERVED & #2. YOUR ACCURACY is steering

you towards 'revelatory-discovery' that is considered HIGHLY-CLASSIFIED and worth

relatively-draconian measures to silence.

"Just because you're paranoid, DOESN'T mean that somebody is NOT out to get you/silence you."~;-)~Jack~
Stay persistent and NEVER 'role-over/discourage' easily.

P.S. These kind of attacks by fairly-clever academics who are 'perturbed-off' at you; &/or by DARPA-R&D types

who prefer that you SHUT-UP; are fairly routine & to be expected from time to time/ been their before-often.

Cheer-up; you're pioneer-thinkers and they are small-minded-bureaucratic-psuedointellectual/psuedoscientist-JERKS!~;-)

- Show quoted text -

Hotmail: Powerful Free email with security by Microsoft.
<https://signup.live.com/signup.aspx?id=60969>

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) May 28 2010, 11:07 pm

JUST A NOTE OF CONFIRMATION.

Past Experience has silenced me personally many times. One... was included in my Profile, and my reason for placing it there. 'Others' - at the time - had it in the 'pipe-line' for the Nobel. That... was proof that what I had, was 'right'.

I only know that if each was to go back over ALL that has been entered into this site on the O/S since Eski started - and although we are all different within our approaches - facts are, the answer lies between us all.

It can be drawn out like pieces of a jig-saw puzzle for those who have the inclination to take the trouble. Each has contributed. Each has a peice or two within their discussions etc. It is not mathamatical nor verbal diahorrea, but the facts which have gotten lost in the maze of threads... and many explanations.

Like a Living Peal of Wisdom, it is there for the plucking.

We are not 'orphans' if this can be proven, even is Eski 'appears' to have been blocked for now. Something - if truth be on our side - must even prove that, truth itself may have a way of being 'blocked' but not from leaking. Like water it will find the gavity of this situation, and we - all of us - are that Gravity! The 'Key' lies with us.

All the very best to each member, and to hoek - my friend - thank you for " Hi to my good friend ka-sala, may God always smile upon you. hoek "

To ESKI... I do trust this will soon be overcome.

We are in this boat together. Take Care.
Regards,
ka-sala

On May 28, 1:01 am, Jack O Suileabhain <braghgoerin...@hotmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jun 10 2010, 3:37 pm

An update on the situation .

After a week of trying to get a response from Google and having the Library here try to contact them, to no avail. I gave up and started to work around them, About ten days later, I tried the e-mail again. This time, instead of a cut-off notice, they said, "Suspicious activity detected, change your password. I did so and they let me back in. I haven't figured out; however, how they knew I was "me" and that they were not giving my "hacker" my account for good. I suppose they figured that in that case they would close it "permanently."

Checking my Sent Mail, I found that some one had sent a "non-content" message to a non-existent mail address, to someone who apparently doesn't exist, from my e-mail address. This non-message had been bounced back eleven times. Apparently on the 12th try Google suspended the address. So that's the story. I'm back, but, needless to say, I'm trying to keep back-ups so I won't be caught quite so short the next time something like this comes up.

Incidentally or site seems to be getting several hits an hour. which I think is good news. However, we're not collecting any new members.

At least, however, the hits do not appear to be from "Spammers" as some of our early hits, and "members" turned out to be. ESKI

On May 28, 11:07 pm, ka-sala <irrir...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[» Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Trying to get Eski back some contacts.

Options

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

high vreeland [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jun 1 2010, 12:50 pm

Luckily for him, Eski and I have a side contact which was not through Google. He tells me that the lock out from the deanlsinclair@gmail address has lost him the exact addresses to two very valuable contacts, "Charles" and "Herringbone." He says that there is a member of the Group that is an associate of "Charles" and that "Herringbone" has also been in contact with Charles so he is asking me to tell the Group that a valid address for Eski is deanlsincl...@yahoo.com and that he can be contacted there... Thanks. If someone really wants to keep Eski out of touch, suppose that I'll be the next one to have my account, "Temporarily Suspended." Hugh

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

Irrira Rikki [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jun 2 2010, 1:37 am

Thanks Hugh.

Have this other address, and have been in touch with Eski via this in his note to me. I see he's back with us. Great news.

Wish I could help re. "Charles" and "Herringbone." but have no contacts.

"Temporarily Suspension.." ? We're in this together.

Regards,
ka-sala

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

Grow Your Online Business

Marketing for your home & office websites. Learn how at Full Sail! www.FullSail.edu

Email Marketing Software

Send Emails & Autoresponders with Confidence. 12+ Yrs. Exp. \$1 Trial! www.AWeber.com

Email Marketing Trial

Try powerful email marketing free for 30 days and join our 700k users www.iContact.com

[See your message here...](#)

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more

deanlsinclair@gmail.com

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Attention 'Reporter'

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jun 2 2010, 11:40 pm

Interested as to why you joined this group ?
ka-sala

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[New Local Advertising](#)

Promote Your Business on Google Search and Maps. Try AW Express! [Google.com/awexpress](#)

[See your message here...](#)

[Gmail](#) [Calendar](#) [Documents](#) [Photos](#) [Reader](#) [Web](#) [more](#)

[deanlsinclair@gmail.com](#)

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Matter--Anti-matter

Options

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

[eskia...@mail.com](#) [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jun 2 2010, 4:53 pm

Merhaba, arkadashlar,
Long time me watch Group. Sorry, hear lose old Eski. I use Eskiadam name, think maybe time i go try join group.

Old Eski he say if anti-lectron anti-matter, so be proton, so if the electron matter then so be anti-proton if so be--maybe atom weight be total of all anti unit and unit. No neutron , all electron anti-lectron proton anti proton all turn around turn inside out balance out?

Tessukur,

Eskiadam

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

[ka-sala](#) [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jun 2 2010, 9:20 pm

Merhaba, arkadashlar... friend.
Welcome Eskidam!

You watch group very good. Me sorry too lose old Eski. He very nice fellow. Maybe very good you join group. Very important to have you.

So... old Eski say in nut-shell - same as "everything affect each other, and without one can there be no link." Very good balanced logic !

Welcome again. I think you be very important for this group, ja !
Tessukur Eskkidam.

ka-sla

On Jun 3, 7:53 am, [eskia...@mail.com](#) wrote:

- [Show quoted text](#) -

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the
[new Google Groups](#)